

I feel that any service you choose to license that knowingly would cause interference to not only the amateur radio HF spectrum but to the low-band public service bands as well is totally wrong. You can still authorize BPL using the 2.5 MHz range and eliminate this interference problem! (You could have your cake and eat it too!)

The only reason that you are not receiving more negative feedback regarding this issue from the amateur radio community is because you have not re-structured the amateur radio license classes while removing the antiquated requirement of CW for having phone privileges on the HF amateur bands which BPL will drastically effect! You cannot expect the largest & largest growing group of licensed amateurs to give much of an opinion on a portion of the radio spectrum they currently are not welcome in without wasting their time to learn CW. The comments were made by amateur radio extras just this week (calls withheld to protect the innocent) that the formulas on the extra exam should be removed as well because we no longer use them and they have outlived their usefulness! If this is true, than why even entertain the thought of retaining CW as a requirement? Sound familiar?

We need to unite the amateur radio community against BPL which threatens our HF spectrum by not making one "mode" of amateur radio a requirement to be able to operate on another! Drop the code requirement and you will really be flooded with anti-BPL on the low frequencies! Don't drop it and HF will just die a slow death.., with "HF" standing for "Have Fun" in the future trying to use those bands!