

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Docket ET 04-37

RECEIVED
JUN 14 2004
MAIL ROOM
United States Senate

JON KYL
ARIZONA
730 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
(202) 224-4521

COMMITTEES:
FINANCE
JUDICIARY
ENERGY AND NATURAL
RESOURCES
CHAIRMAN
REPUBLICAN POLICY COMMITTEE

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0804

STATE OFFICES:
2200 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD
SUITE 120
PHOENIX, AZ 85016
(602) 840-1891
7315 NORTH ORACLE ROAD
SUITE 220
TUCSON, AZ 85704
(520) 575-8633

May 28, 2004

OBT
BPL
18/15

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

You will find enclosed items of correspondence from one of my constituents, Mr. Robert L. Hollister, regarding FCC Proceeding 03-104. Please see that their concerns are filed with other public comments on this issue.

Sincerely,

JON KYL
United States Senator

JK:BCJ

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

2

14 JUN 2004 RCUD

May 17, 2004

The Honorable Senator Jon Kyl
730 Hart Senate Building
Washington DC 20510

Brian

2132485

Dear Sir:

I am writing you, as a concerned citizen and as a member of the Cochise County Local Emergency Planning Committee to alert you to decisions being made by the FCC that may have far reaching implications for the future of emergency communications used in Homeland Security/Defense areas including the military services, the National Communications System (NCS) high frequency (HF) Shared Resources (SHARES) program (representing approximately 86 Federal, state and local response agencies), the FEMA National Emergency Communications Network (NECN) and HF Radio Service program, the Military Affiliate Radio System (MARS), the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES), the amateur radio service, as well as all other licensed HF radio services in this country.

The FCC is currently promoting, with insufficient examination, an unlicensed broadband Internet technology known as Broadband over Power Line (BPL). BPL, however, is proving to be a serious threat to those licensed radio services mentioned above operating in the HF spectrum. The evidence presented by the National Telecommunication and Information Agency (NTIA) and other studies conducted within the US and abroad indicate that there is insufficient information available at this time to make a rational judgment on this technology. BPL threatens HF communications used by the federal, state, and local response agencies as well as all radio amateurs, shortwave listeners, and radio astronomers. It even threatens to interfere with the U.S. Government time and frequency standard signals sent by WWV, the HF radio station operated by NIST in Boulder, Colorado.

While Very High Frequency (VHF) and Ultra High Frequency (UHF) communications are frequently used in emergencies by public services agencies, HF communications remains an important component of the emergency communications network. HF communications provides long-range/medium-range operational capabilities, allowing communications to occur when electrical power and radio towers are disrupted or disabled.

In the period post-9/11, radio amateurs are increasingly being viewed as an integral component of the "first responder" apparatus promoted under the Homeland Security/Defense initiative. Radio amateurs provided assistance during recent disasters such as hurricane Isabel and the fires in southern California. They provided crucial assistance during the space shuttle Columbia recovery effort. They also provided communications after the World Trade Center attacks when cell phones and other municipal services were disrupted and overloaded. Radio amateurs have also been at the vanguard of technological advances in HF communications. With as little as 5 watts of power and a laptop computer, radio amateurs are able to send and receive digital messages in a mobile setting. They also use HF communications to communicate with satellites built by other dedicated radio amateurs. In short, HF communications provides a place for many

new ideas to be developed and serves as an important training ground for furthering educational opportunities in wireless technology.

As the Deputy Chairperson for the Cochise County Local Emergency Planning committee, I have experienced first hand the contributions that amateur radio operators make in supporting our first responders during emergencies and am concerned that the FCC is about to make a serious error which will ultimately have a Homeland Defense/Security impact. These same volunteer operators make up a large portion of the emergency first responders for the NCS SHARES program, FEMA, RACES, MARS, and other agencies using the HF spectrum where this BPL technology is being deployed.

BPL interference also cuts both ways. Just as BPL has been shown to produce interference to radio amateurs operating in the HF spectrum, BPL has been shown to be susceptible to interference from radio amateur equipment operating at legal power levels. For example, radio amateurs are permitted to operate at power levels of up to 1500 watts in the HF spectrum. Informal tests conducted by radio amateurs in nearby Washington, DC suburbs have shown that, with power levels as low as 100 watts, BPL communications can be completely disrupted.

Rather than acting as an arbiter for competing interests, the FCC is unfortunately acting as a champion for BPL technology. The FCC is not acting with the proper diligence in this matter, and is letting business interests get ahead of sound technical decisions.

Referring to comments made by Chairman Powell and Commissioners Abernathy, Adelstein, Copps and Martin (RE: "Inquiry Regarding Carrier Current Systems, including Broadband over Power Line Systems," ET Docket No. 03-XXX, Notice of Inquiry adopted April 23, 2003, ET Docket No. 03-104), the FCC appears to be championing this technology, rather than examining its implications in a scientific manner. Quoting Chairman Powell,

"Today's notice explores ways to update our rules to ensure that regulatory uncertainty does not in any way hinder the deployment of these new services. Ultimately it will be for the marketplace to decide how broadband over power lines fits into tomorrow's competitive telecommunications landscape, but we welcome them to the frontier of the digital migration."

Nowhere in Chairman Powell's statement does he indicate (should interference to licensed services exist) that the FCC would consider abandoning support for BPL. If the marketplace and financial interests decide how much interference any other licensed service must tolerate, no wireless user will be immune from disruptions in the future. Consider, for example, if BPL were to operate in the 88-108 MHz spectrum (the FM broadcast band) or 54-72 MHz (the lower part of the broadcast television band). One could easily imagine the outrage from the broadcast industry about interference to their LICENSED radio services!

At a speech a few months ago, Commissioner Abernathy was quoted as saying that BPL is "broadband Nirvana," a statement that she only recently retracted when questioned in a public forum by an amateur radio organization. BPL is not "Nirvana." It is an ill-conceived communications medium that is not robust enough to effectively compete with other broadband services such as DSL, cable modems, satellite and licensed wireless services. BPL is the


communications equivalent of shipping gasoline through sewer systems rather than through pipelines. Just because sewer lines are able to transport fluids and are commonly found throughout the country doesn't mean that they're suitable for transporting hazardous and flammable liquids! Similarly, BPL is a source of pollution in the HF spectrum and an unnecessary hazard to HF communications.

Only Commissioner Adelstein, in his comments on the same Notice of Inquiry (NOI), seemed to understand the possible technical implications of BPL technology on HF communications. In his comments regarding the NOI inquiry he said,

"Thus, I believe that while we must be mindful of harmful interference, we cannot let unsupported claims stand in the way of such an innovation as BPL systems. Provided that the engineering bears out, I believe that we need to push the boundaries to accommodate new technologies... However, the technology is still in the earliest stages of development and testing, and the NOI was drafted with the sole focus of addressing the technical issues associated with BPL systems, not the policy ones. This item does not seem to be the right place to tackle these important questions. I will support revisiting these non-technical issues once the Commission gets a better understanding of the technology and associated deployment of BPL systems."

BPL is an unlicensed networking technology threatening licensed radio services and emergency response agencies operating in the HF spectrum that ultimately impacts the ability for them to meet their Homeland Security/Defense missions. It is not robust enough to survive interference from legally operated equipment and, if fully deployed, would end up providing unreliable service to its subscribers. I am asking you to challenge the FCC commissioners and have them explain their actions and comments regarding BPL. Also, I am asking for your support in helping to keep BPL from interfering with HF communications now and in the future.

Respectfully,



Robert L Hollister
5457 S San Juan Ave.
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650 - 9340
Deputy Chairperson, Cochise County Local Emergency Planning Committee.
Amateur Radio Operator, N7INK
NCS SHARES Radio Operator, AAR9KK
MARS Operator AAA9E