

MAY. 14. 2004 5:35PM

HON STENHOLM

NO 1690 P. 175

RECEIVED

JUN - 8 2004

CHARLES W. STENHOLM
17TH DISTRICT
TEXAS

2409 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-8905
FAX: (202) 225-2224
Home Page: <http://www.house.gov/stenholm/>

AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE
RANKING MEMBER

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

DISTRICT OFFICES:
P.O. BOX 1227
STAMFORD, TX 79083
(325) 773-3623
FAX: (325) 773-2833

1500 INDUSTRIAL BOULEVARD, #
ARLINGTON, TX 76010
(325) 873-7221
FAX: (325) 676-9547

2121 KNICKERBOCKER ROAD, SUITE
SAN ANGELO, TX 76904
(325) 942-8881
FAX: (325) 942-8886

OET
BPL
1562

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

04-37

TO: FCC Leg. Affairs

DATE: 5/13, 2004

FAX#: 202-418-2806 NO. OF PAGES FOLLOWING: 4

THE HONORABLE CHARLES W. STENHOLM

- BAILEY, TRES
- CROWE, DERRICK
- KELLER, ANNE
- KERSEY, KAREN
- LORENZEN, ED

- MCWRIGHT, JULIE
- NETTLES, CINDY
- PECHE, BILLY
- RAMOS, DERRICK
- SCHOONMAKER, JAYNE
- OTHER:

COMMENTS: Please advise as to constituents' concerns, and address response to Derrick Crowe. Thanks + have a great weekend!

DC

No. of Copies rec'd 2
List ABCDE

Note: This fax transmission contains confidential information from the Office of U.S. Congressman Charles W. Stenholm. It is intended solely for the addressee(s). If you receive this fax in error, please DO NOT disclose, copy, or distribute any part of this transmission. Instead, please notify us immediately at (202) 225-6605. Thank you.

RECEIVED TIME MAY. 14. 5:21PM

PRINT TIME MAY. 14. 5:22PM

View f:\emailobj\200404\2\429164600.txt

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>
Date: 4/29/2004 4:35:48 PM
To: imaTX17@mail.house.gov
Subject: Constituent Mail

Dear Charlie:

On April 26, President Bush told the American Association of Community Colleges Annual Convention in Minneapolis: "There needs to be technical standards to make possible new broadband technologies, such as the use of high-speed communication directly over power lines. Power lines were for electricity; power lines can be used for broadband technology. So the technical standards need to be changed to encourage that."

Mr. Bush is wrong. Using power lines to distribute broadband services (called Broadband over Power Lines, or BPL) is a bad idea that should not be encouraged. As a federally licensed Amateur Radio operator who has passed a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) examination in radiocommunication technology, I can tell you why.

Power lines were designed to transmit electrical energy. They were not designed to transmit broadband signals, which is fact are radio-frequency (RF) signals. When a broadband signal is put on a power line, much of the RF energy leaks off the line and radiates, causing interference to nearby radio receivers. Interference has been documented at test sites throughout the country and overseas where BPL is in operation. Recordings of actual interference at several test sites are available at www.arrl.org/bpl.

The nation's 680,000 radio amateurs are especially concerned about this interference because it affects the short waves -- a unique portion of the radio spectrum that supports long-distance, intercontinental radio communication. Licensed radio amateurs use these frequencies for hurricane reporting, disaster and emergency relief, and many other purposes in accordance with FCC regulations. The Amateur Radio Service is the only 100% failsafe emergency communications capability in the world. No matter what happens, radio amateurs will be able to communicate with one another without having to rely on the expensive and vulnerable infrastructure -- but we cannot maintain our emergency networks if BPL is deployed and interferes with the weak radio signals we are trying to hear.

In addition to amateur operation, the short waves are used for international broadcasting, aeronautical, maritime, and other services including the military. Depending on the frequencies in use, BPL interference also could wipe out radio communication for many of our nation's First Responders -- police, fire, and emergency medical personnel -- who use low-band VHF radios operating in the 30-50 megahertz (MHz) range.

Radio amateurs support expanded broadband services to consumers at lower cost. Indeed, they tend to be early adopters of new technology. However, there are ways to deliver broadband that do not pollute the radio spectrum as BPL does. These include fiber-to-the-home, cable, DSL, and Broadband Wireless Access. None of these technologies causes interference to short wave radio.

BPL is sometimes touted as a solution for rural areas. It is not. A BPL signal only carries a few thousand feet down a power line and then must be repeated. This requires a lot of hardware and will not be economic in areas with low population densities.

The FCC recognizes the interference potential of BPL and is in the midst of a rulemaking proceeding, ET Docket No. 04-37, that proposes new requirements and measurement guidelines for BPL systems. However, the FCC proposals do not go nearly far enough to protect over-the-air radiocommunication services.

In short, BPL has a major disadvantage that is not shared by other broadband technologies and that outweighs whatever benefit it may offer. National broadband telecommunications policy should not include support for BPL, but should focus on other, more appropriate technologies.

By encouraging broadband over power lines, the administration is heading in the wrong direction. Please do what you can to change its course. Thank you.

Sincerely,
John Dyer
9124 CR 301
Cisco, Tx 76437

----- Original Formatted Message Starts Here -----

<APP>CUSTOM
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>
<FIRST>John</FIRST>
<LAST>Dyer</LAST>
<ADDR1>9124 CR 301</ADDR1>

<CITY>Cisco</CITY>
<STATE>Tx</STATE>
<ZIP>76437</ZIP>

<EMAIL>jdyer@txol.net</EMAIL>
<AFFL></AFFL>
<MSG>Dear Charlie:

On April 26, President Bush told the American Association of Community Colleges Annual Convention in Minneapolis: "There needs to be technical standards to make possible new broadband technologies, such as the use of high-speed communication directly over power lines. Power lines were for electricity; power lines can be used for broadband technology. So the technical standards need to be changed to encourage that."

Mr. Bush is wrong. Using power lines to distribute broadband services (called Broadband over Power Lines, or BPL) is a bad idea that should not be encouraged. As a federally licensed Amateur Radio operator who has passed a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) examination in radiocommunication technology, I can tell you why.

Power lines were designed to transmit electrical energy. They were not designed to transmit broadband signals, which is fact are radio-frequency (RF) signals. When a broadband signal is put on a power line, much of the RF energy leaks off the line and radiates, causing interference to nearby radio receivers. Interference has been documented at test sites throughout the country and overseas where BPL is in operation. Recordings of actual interference at several test sites are available at www.arri.org/bpl.

The nation's 680,000 radio amateurs are especially concerned about this interference because it affects the short waves -- a unique portion of the radio spectrum that supports long-distance, intercontinental radio communication. Licensed radio amateurs use these frequencies for hurricane reporting, disaster and emergency relief, and many other purposes in accordance with FCC regulations. The Amateur Radio Service is the only 100% failsafe emergency communications capability in the world. No matter what happens, radio amateurs will be able to communicate with one another without having to rely on the expensive and vulnerable infrastructure -- but we cannot maintain our emergency networks if BPL is deployed and interferes with the weak radio signals we are trying to hear.

In addition to amateur operation, the short waves are used for international broadcasting, aeronautical, maritime, and other services including the military. Depending on the frequencies in use, BPL interference also could wipe out radio communication for many of our nation's First Responders -- police, fire, and emergency medical personnel -- who use low-band VHF radios operating in the 30-50 megahertz (MHz) range.

View f:\emallobj\200404\2\427204854.txt

From: Web forms <webforms@www6.house.gov>
Date: 4/27/2004 8:35:40 PM
To: imaTX17@mail.house.gov
Subject: Constituent Mail

April 27, 2004

Rep. Charlie Stenholm
2409 Raburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Stenholm:

On April 26, President Bush told the American Association of Community Colleges Annual Convention in Minneapolis: "There needs to be technical standards to make possible new broadband technologies, such as the use of high-speed communication directly over power lines. Power lines were for electricity, power lines can be used for broadband technology. So the technical standards need to be changed to encourage that."

Mr. Bush is wrong. Using power lines to distribute broadband services (called Broadband over Power Lines, or BPL) is a bad idea that should not be encouraged. As a federally licensed Amateur Radio operator who has passed a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) examination in radiocommunication technology, I can tell you why.

Power lines were designed to transmit electrical energy. They were not designed to transmit broadband signals, which is fact are radio-frequency (RF) signals. When a broadband signal is put on a power line, much of the RF energy leaks off the line and radiates, causing interference to nearby radio receivers. Interference has been documented at test sites throughout the country and overseas where BPL is in operation. Recordings of actual interference at several test sites are available at www.arrl.org/bpl

The nation's 680,000 radio amateurs are especially concerned about this interference because it affects the short waves -- a unique portion of the radio spectrum that supports long-distance, intercontinental radio communication. Licensed radio amateurs use these frequencies for hurricane reporting, disaster and emergency relief, and many other purposes in accordance with FCC regulations. The Amateur Radio Service is the only 100% failsafe emergency communications capability in the world. No matter what happens, radio amateurs will be able to communicate with one another without having to rely on the expensive and vulnerable infrastructure -- but we cannot maintain our emergency networks if BPL is deployed and interferes with the weak radio signals we are trying to hear.

In addition to amateur operation, the short waves are used for international broadcasting, aeronautical, maritime, and other services including the military. Depending on the frequencies in use, BPL interference also could wipe out radio communication for many of our nation's First Responders -- police, fire, and emergency medical personnel -- who use low-band VHF radios operating in the 30-50 megahertz (MHz) range.

Radio amateurs support expanded broadband services to consumers at lower cost. Indeed, they tend to be early adopters of new technology. However, there are ways to deliver broadband that do not pollute the radio spectrum as BPL does. These include fiber-to-the-home, cable, DSL, and Broadband Wireless Access. None of these technologies causes interference to

short wave radio.

BPL is sometimes touted as a solution for rural areas. It is not. A BPL signal only carries a few thousand feet down a power line and then must be repeated. This requires a lot of hardware and will not be economic in areas with low population densities.

The FCC recognizes the interference potential of BPL and is in the midst of a rulemaking proceeding, ET Docket No. 04-37, that proposes new requirements and measurement guidelines for BPL systems. However, the FCC proposals do not go nearly far enough to protect over-the-air radiocommunication services.

In short, BPL has a major disadvantage that is not shared by other broadband technologies and that outweighs whatever benefit it may offer. National broadband telecommunications policy should not include support for BPL, but should focus on other, more appropriate technologies.

By encouraging broadband over power lines, the administration is heading in the wrong direction. Please do what you can to change its course. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Roberts
(325) 668-5864 kg5qh@cox.net

----- Original Formatted Message Starts Here -----

<APP>CUSTOM
<PREFIX>Mr.</PREFIX>
<FIRST>Steven</FIRST>
<LAST>Roberts</LAST>
<ADDR1>5817 Atlantic Dr.</ADDR1>

<CITY>Abilene</CITY>
<STATE>TX</STATE>
<ZIP>79606</ZIP>

<EMAIL>kg5qh@cox.net</EMAIL>
<AFFL>OPT IN</AFFL>
<MSG>April 27, 2004

Rep. Charlie Stenholm
2409 Rarum HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Stenholm: