

Broadband over Power Line is not a practicable technology as proposed and currently in trial.

The distance covered is limited and requires a tremendous capital expense in amplification equipment to extend its range. Consequently, the use of BPL for rural areas is not commercially viable.

(Can you tell the public about a single trial of BPL that is in a rural area? There hasn't been one.)

Yet, the FCC, the President, and many of our Senators and Congressman are pushing the technology based upon mis-information.

So, what is all the interest about? Could it be that the power companies see a profit windfall?

Have the Political Action Committee's funded by the power companies made promises to our government leaders that would be funded by BPL in urban and suburban communities?

Providing a non-regulated service to urban and suburban communities certainly would provide that profit.

The FCC is willing to place the entire communications infrastructure at stake on a flawed technology with flawed promises. There have been many reports demonstrating the weaknesses of the technology and non showing the cost effectiveness or ability for long distance coverage.

It would be in poor taste to continue this blind rush to BPL without a real look at the true benefits to the public instead of the benefits to our government leaders.