

As a taxpayer and community minded leader, I believe consideration of BPL will do more to undermine alot of "good will" clause referred to in part 97 of Amateur Radio. Because of this big push by the FCC to make cheap internet access, it jeopardizes our Radio Service & ARES/RACES people. Not enough tests have been done by Independent Services to make a qualified decision on the level of interference that this bill will produce. The responsibility issue here of the FCC to enforce interference to both Amateur Radio and BPL is at the root of the problem! This is going to create a cloud over this agency and devalue Amateur Radio as well. Such short sighted decision making about such important services. Part 15 needs major revisions with imput from all the major services concerned with interference. A table and realistic values about interference should be published stating the levels (db) that will occur in both rural setting and community based settings and population density etc. I oppose consideration of BPL because not enough responsible tests and Part 15 inadequacies are apparent. Other countries have opposed BPL and PLC ... We need to take an indepth look before jumping on the band wagon!

Please reconsider your fast track position to this (BPL)a potential fire storm of interference issues and a jeopardy to "good will" of all services affected. How can Amateurs driving up and down neighborhoods trying to communicate with fellow Amateurs interfering with Internet Access create "good will". I believe the FCC will be responsible for their position that will cast a negative cloud over interference issues here.