

To whom it concerns,

It is apparent from the comments filed with the FCC regarding BPL Docket 03-104 that several governmental and non-governmental agencies have real problems with the interference being allowed to pass by the FCC. The FCC was designed to facilitate communications in a way that allowed for minimal interference. It appears the FCC is violating its own goals to allow this infant idea of BPL to enter main stream existence without considering all issues. As a member of the ARRL and also a volunteer who watches closely what FEMA does it is apparent that repeated comments and filings from them have not been given adequate consideration.

BPL is detrimental to the future growth and expansion of amateur radio. As former amateur radio club president at Michigan State University (W8SH/W8MSU) we find great worth in the existence of amateur radio, the growth of amateur radio, and the research that comes out of amateur radio. It is apparent that the FCC is willing to cut off and nearly destroy such research in major portions of the amateur radio band by introducing a destructive technology.

This letter is meant to publicly file that this amateur radio operator feels the FCC has not fully followed its own public mandate and is hereby making a detrimental decision. I implore readers of this comment to reconsider the harm you are causing to amateur radio, research and our public institutions who utilize amateur radio as a major learning tool.

Additionally, please read and re-read comments filed by the ARRL and FEMA in order to understand how this destruction through harmful interference will hurt Federal, State and local emergency response systems and designs that have been put in place to save lives.

Please consider what the FCC's actions are really doing. Are they increasing access to broadband yes, but what does it matter if the areas of research that need broadband are gone because the technologies introduced destroy them?

Michael J. Wolthuis, M.A.
Amateur Radio Callsign: KB8ZGL