



Vonya B. McCann
Senior Vice President

Federal External Affairs
401 9th Street, Northwest, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004
Voice 202 585 1900
Fax 202 585 1899

EX PARTE PRESENTATION

September 4, 2003

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
TW-A325
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Docket Nos. 90-571, 92-237, 95-116, 96-45, 98-170, 98-171, 99-200,
and 01-92; ET Docket No. 00-258 ; MM Docket No. 97-217 ; WC Docket
No. 02-361; and WT Docket Nos. 02-55, 02-68, 03-66 and 03-67.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 3, 2003, Gary Forsee, Chief Executive Officer, Tom Gerke, Executive Vice President –Legal and External Affairs, and the undersigned, on behalf of Sprint Corporation, met with Chairman Powell and Bryan Tramont of his office, Commissioner Abernathy and Matthew Brill and Jennifer Manner of her office, Commissioner Capps and Jessica Rosenworcel of his office, Commissioner Martin and Dan Gonzalez of his office, and Commissioner Adelstein and Scott Bergmann of his office, to discuss a wide range of issues pending before the Commission.

Sprint's presentations were consistent with its prior submissions in the above-referenced dockets. With respect to intercarrier compensation, Sprint urged the Commissioners to move forward with a comprehensive solution that would apply to all types and jurisdictions of traffic, but, recognizing the time it will take to achieve that result, also urged the Commission in the meantime to address other matters requiring immediate Commission attention, such as the AT&T petition on phone-to-phone Internet telephony. In that regard, Sprint pointed out the impact of the current status of Internet telephony on the stability of universal service funding, and in its meeting with Commissioner Martin and his staff, Sprint reiterated its support for a number-based USF contribution mechanism.

Sprint also raised the issue of the looming deadline for wireless local number portability, urging the Commission to resolve outstanding issues, especially the porting of numbers from wireline LECs to CMRS providers.

Marlene H. Dortch
September 4, 2003
Page 2

Finally, Sprint expressed its continued interest in deploying broadband services using fixed wireless technology and urged the Commission to act favorably on the proposals of the MDS/ITFS industry coalition in the pending 2.5 GHz band service rules proceeding. Sprint also pointed out that the Commission has an obligation to find comparable replacement spectrum for MDS Channels 1 and 2 licensee relocation in the form of usable spectrum that will not pose interference problems with other users. Sprint argued that the G Block is a technically feasible solution for the MDS industry and that the G Block spectrum is not required to resolve interference concerns posed in the 800 MHz proceeding.

This letter is being filed electronically in each of the above-referenced dockets.

Sincerely,



Vonya B. McCann

cc: Chairman Powell
Commissioner Abernathy
Commissioner Copps
Commissioner Martin
Commissioner Adelstein
Bryan Tramont
Matthew Brill
Jennifer Manner
Jessica Rosenworcel
Dan Gonzalez
Scott Bergmann