

Gary W. Barnett
134 Sheldon Ave
Falls City, OR 97344
503 787-1217
gbarnett99@earthlink.net

8/28/03

Federal Communications Commission

To whom this may concern:

Reference Docket 99-25

Hello,

I am not an expert in radio technology or frequency allocation, I am a citizen end user who is effected by the rules currently in place. I have called my local station and I have done some research to try and find out why our reception is so problematic. Please give my concerns an adequate reception because like I said, I am and end user affected by the rules you adopt and or support.

My community has a low power FM station that is providing a great service to the community. From the national warning system, to job announcements, public meeting notices, local weather, remote feeds from the fair, interviews with elected representatives, on and on.....

The problem is that a station over seventy miles away interferes with reception depending on where you are in this small community. The high power station in question from Portland Oregon broadcasts objectionable obscene material that overrides our valuable local station.

I understand that a suitable frequency exists but because of the adjacency rules now in place our station can not move to that unobstructed frequency even though our LPFM station would have no effect on adjacent stations many, many miles away.

I have read the MITRE report and it seems clear that this non-interference has been proven. I believe the reason these adjacency rules are now not considering the very low output of LPFM is larger stations have more lobbying clout and our government is not supporting local communities. I recently saw a speech by the FCC chairman on C-Span and he seemed to support LPFM. Let us see some action and leadership from him to restore the original rules so that LPFM can support our local communities.

Thank you,

Gary W. Barnett