

I am opposed to the BPL docket. The internet is already available to anyone who is interested in it by both terrestrial means and by satellite. The interference levels shown in the video produced by The American Radio Relay League outweigh any benefit that the public would gain by the power companies offering this service.

Not only would this interfere with Amateur Radio communications which have been noted as a first line of defense and information by the homeland security agency, but would also interfere with local public service frequencies, HF maritime, and military users of the spectrum. I also believe it would raise the interference levels to local AM broadcast stations making it more difficult for the public to receive them clearly. If you looked at the overall picture, more people would need clear AM broadcast reception especially in an emergency than people would need their internet connection as demonstrated during 9/11 with the internet slowing to a crawl during the attack due to the sheer number of connection attempts.

With cable modems, DSL, and dialup connections, very few (if any) would gain from this "service" and the resulting interference levels would be at the expense of us all and this is not a measure I believe the FCC should support.