

I support Comments made by the Harris Corporation and the ARRL concerning Docket ET 03-104. The groups supporting BPL have certainly not made their case. Comments like "we believe that BPL will not interfere with other users of the RF spectrum" is just wishful thinking. Where is the documented proof for such statements? The ARRL has submitted ample proof that even at current Part 15 levels the interference levels are not acceptable. For those supporting deployment of BPL to state that we should go ahead and implement BPL and then see who complains doesn't sound like good policy to me. Even though the power companies have lines in place where is the funding going to come from to install the rest of the system? The power companies are hard pressed to fund the upkeep of the current power grid as evidenced by the recent outage in the eastern part of the country. The claims of the supporters of BPL that this is to support access for rural America doesn't make sense either. If it were profitable for high speed Internet to be installed in rural sites others would have done it by now. Maybe as this technology matures it can be implemented in such a way that it will not interfere with licensed users of the RF spectrum and be economically feasible to create such a system but until that time the commission should proceed with extreme caution on deployment of BPL systems