

The following Reply Document for Proceeding 03-104 concerns comments filed by: International Broadband Electric Communications, Inc. – IBEC.

In FCC 03-100 the Commissioners asked upward of 90 questions; my best count was 94 total questions trying to arrive at the truth of this potentially polluting transmission medium.

- I) Access BPL Systems 18 questions
- II) In-House BPL Systems 11 questions
- III) Interference From BPL Emissions 31 questions
- IV) Measurement methods 20 questions
- V) Equipment Authorization Process 4 questions
- VI) Power Line Carrier Systems 8 questions
- VII) Other Matters 2 questions

While IBEC wishes to offer its full support to the Commissioner's efforts, it appears this does not include answering the questions in a forthright manner. This lack of candor has me worried as a taxpayer.

IBEC believes noninterference is in a proven state. This has me worried as a taxpayer. I propose that they and the Industry that they are connected, build a \$20 billion fund as a bond to demonstrate this faith. Cost would be passed on to the ratepayers and this would cover the cost of additional FCC Field personnel to handle complaints. We could call it the FCC HF Superfund. Also, payments to harmed parties should be \$1000 a day per man plus per diem to cover the costs of test equipment, automobiles, lodging, taxes, insurance, etc while resolving the complaint. I see no problem in securing the financing for such a progressive technology from some of the most intelligent Investment Bankers America has.

A copy of this will be mailed via the USPS using certified First-Class to:

International Broadband Electric Communications, Inc. – IBEC

102 Clinton Avenue, Suite 202

Huntsville, AL 35801

Respectfully,

John E Grimes