

As a licensed amateur radio operator, I am opposed to BPL. Initial tests by amateur operators have shown that this Part 15 system will cause severe interference to licensed activities on the HF and lower VHF bands. According to Part 15, devices that cause interference to licensed activities are unlawful and shall not be permitted. It has already been proven that Part 15 BPL will interfere with HF communications, please reference the following video taken by an ham radio operator:

[http://216.167.96.120/BPL\\_Trial-web.mpg](http://216.167.96.120/BPL_Trial-web.mpg)

The destruction of these frequencies will eliminate our ability to provide emergency communications during times of crisis. The companies supporting BPL have placed the burden of proving interference upon amateur radio operators and others. Doesn't this seem a bit odd? Shouldn't they, in fact, be burdened with proving that BPL will not effect critical frequency resources? With thousands of government users, amateur radio operators, shortwave listeners, commercial broadcasters and others being effected by the deployment of this technology, I urge you consider denying approval of BPL and similar future technologies.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven Peterson  
Licensed Amateur KG6JEV