

Dear FCC,

I am in opposition of BPL as a means of distributing Internet access over a wide area. It is well known that Internet access needs to be available in remote areas. The means to accomplish this must be found with other technologies. Many feel using an existing transmission medium (Power line conductors) will be a solution since it's already in place. True, however the implementation is not that simple. Many Amateur Radio operators including myself do not have the time and resources to work with the various utility companies in troubleshooting potential negative side-effects of BPL. RF energy over a wire conductor opens up a forum for leakage if not properly handled. I can not see how the power utilities will have the resources to keep their power distribution infrastructure "clean" and have staff handy to meet with the many HF spectrum users who will be potentially on the receiving end of interference from BPL data. It is important to note Amateur Radio operators have been assisting in many life-saving 2-way communications on HF bands for over 7 decades. Internet demand should not allow the FCC to bend the rules to satisfy the many for-profit entities who will benefit from this technology. It is the FCC's obligation to not allow RF where it shouldn't be. Let's pursue another means of Internet access which will not potentially interfere with any part of the HF communications spectrum. Thank You.