

ET Docket 02-135

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

1

UNITED STATE OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

SPECTRUM POLICY TASK FORCE

+ + + + +

PUBLIC WORKSHOP ON SPECTRUM EFFICIENCY

RECEIVED

+ + + + +

SEP 19 2002

MONDAY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

AUGUST 5, 2002

+ + + + +

The Workshop was held at 9:09 a.m. in the Commission Room of the Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, Southwest, Washington, D.C., Dr. Paul Kolodzy, Spectrum Policy Task Force Director, presiding.

PRESENT:

STEPHEN BLUST	Cingular Wireless
GERALD FAULHABER	University of Pennsylvania
MICHAEL T.N. FITCH	Boeing Company
STEVE GILLIG	Motorola
MARC GOLDBURG	ArrayComm, Inc.
RON HARASETH	APCO
MICHAEL LYNCH	Nortel Networks Corporation
PRESTON MARSHALL	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
PAUL RINALDO	American Radio Relay League
ULRICH ROHDE	Snergy Microwave
C.K. TOH	TRW
CHARLES TRIMBLE	U.S. GPS Industry Council
DAVID WEINREICH	Globalstar
S. MERRILL WEISS	Merrill Weiss Group
BRENT WILKINS	Cantor Fitzgerald Telecom Services

PRESENT FROM THE FCC:

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

RICHARD ENGELMAN  
PAUL KOLODZY  
LAUREN VAN WAZER

International Bureau  
Director, SPTF  
Deputy Director, SPTF

PAGE

Introduction by Ms. Lauren Van Wazer..... 4

Spectrum Policy Task Force Overview..... 4  
Dr. Paul Kolodzy

Spectrum Efficiency Considerations..... 9  
David Weinreich

Panel I: Efficiency Measures and..... 13  
Technology

Reconciling Technology, Flexibility,..... 115  
Policies, and Rules Overview  
Preston Marshall

Panel II: Policies and Rules..... 120

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:09 a.m.)

3 MS. VAN WAZER: Good morning. My name  
4 is Lauren Van Wazer, and I am Deputy Director of  
5 the Spectrum Policy Task Force. Welcome to the  
6 third in a series of four workshops addressing  
7 Spectrum Policy. This workshop will address issues  
8 related to Spectrum efficiency.

9 Before we get started, I just wanted to  
10 say that we have got sign language interpretative  
11 services available, and if you would identify  
12 yourself if you need such services, we would  
13 appreciate it. Well, thank you.

14 I would like to introduce Dr. Paul  
15 Kolodzy, Director of the Spectrum Policy Task  
16 Force.

17 DR. KOLODZY: Thank you, Lauren, and  
18 thank you everybody for coming out today. It is a  
19 Monday, and so hopefully we can get things going  
20 and get a few people moving quite quickly today. I  
21 know that it is a little slow, and everybody tries  
22 to get going on a Monday morning.

23 Welcome to our third meeting, our third  
24 workshop, as Lauren has said. Could you go back  
25 one slide, please. Thank you. Obviously we have

NEAL R. GROSS  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 one more workshop at the end of this week on rights  
2 and responsibilities.

3 This workshop today hopefully will tee  
4 up some of the issues on how to become more  
5 efficient spectrally; i.e., through technologies,  
6 and what kind of policy issues are associated with  
7 that. And then on Friday, we will try to go  
8 through the rights and responsibility issues  
9 associated with the types of models that you want  
10 to use for Spectrum policy.

11 We have had a wild and woolly first two  
12 days, and I think we have gotten started very, very  
13 well, and has set the bar fairly high with respect  
14 to the task force. We are encompassing such a  
15 large scope, and therefore, that's why we actually  
16 put together four workshops instead of one.

17 And I think that we have been able to  
18 actually focus on particular areas and try to bring  
19 those to some sort of head in most of the areas.  
20 As you all well probably know, the Chairman  
21 announced the formation of the task force in June  
22 of this year, and basically the objective is to  
23 look for better ideas on Spectrum policy.

24 The investigation is forward-looking,  
25 and so what I am going to ask the panelists today

1 and for the audience is don't think about what we  
2 are trying to do today with the issues associated  
3 with Spectrum policy are today.

4           You really want to take a look at what  
5 the situation is going to be in the next 5 or 10  
6 years, or even as early as 2 years from now, and  
7 try to help us come up with ideas to be more  
8 proactive in our Spectrum policies, versus reactive  
9 to what the issues that might come up in 2 years,  
10 or 5 years, or 10 years.

11           And I also ask the panelists and the  
12 audience to take a look at not just where you are  
13 coming from in your perspectives, but to actually  
14 take a look at globally and across the spectrum,  
15 because we are actually trying to look at Spectrum  
16 policy across all the uses and users, and not just  
17 across -- not focusing just on one use or one user.

18           In new technologies that we see of  
19 today, as you see all the different uses that we  
20 have up -- that I have shown up on the screen,  
21 basically are showing us that technology allows us  
22 to have flexibility and agility for wireless  
23 devices, or facilitating increasingly dynamic uses  
24 of the spectrum for an increasingly dynamic  
25 marketplace.

1           What we are looking at here is the  
2 potential building blocks for new policies that  
3 will address these new realities. The Spectrum  
4 policy -- well, okay. The Spectrum policy task  
5 force is run by myself. I'm the director. And,  
6 Lauren, as you know, is my deputy director.

7           Our special counsel is Maureen  
8 McLaughlin, and our senior technology advisor is  
9 Mike Marcus. The Task Force Council is made up of  
10 senior members of the Commission from each of the  
11 bureaus and offices that deal with Spectrum issues.

12  
13           You have the International Bureau, like  
14 Rick Engelman, who is chairing today's session, is  
15 also the chair of the Spectrum Efficiency Working  
16 Group. You have the Media Bureau. You have the  
17 Wireless Telecommunications Bureau; Office of Plans  
18 and Policies, and Office of Engineering and  
19 Technology.

20           The task force issued a public notice  
21 back in June, and we have responses and reply  
22 comments that were in July. We ended up asking 29  
23 questions and ended up getting roughly 140  
24 responses, with an additional 40 reply comments.  
25 So quite a bit of information to start working on

1 these workshops.

2 These workshops are hopefully going to  
3 take from those comments and move forward into more  
4 of an interactive environment, and able to do the  
5 pros and cons of particular points of view.

6 I don't want to delay any longer with  
7 the start of the workshop today. However, first of  
8 all, I would like to say before I do, I would like  
9 to say thank you to Lauren Van Wazer and all of the  
10 staff who have worked very hard in putting together  
11 these workshops.

12 It really could not have happened  
13 without her dedication and their dedication to  
14 actually pull this all off. I think that putting  
15 together four workshops in eight days must be some  
16 sort of a record here at the Commission for one  
17 task force.

18 I also want to thank all of you for  
19 coming out on this hot day. I think we have had  
20 every workshop hit it on a hot August day here, and  
21 to brave that weather to come out here, and to hear  
22 from our panelists.

23 Now what I would like to do is to  
24 introduce our panel moderators for this workshop.  
25 First of all, I would like to introduce David

NEAL R. GROSS  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Weinreich, who is from Global Star. Also, Rick  
2 Engelman, who is our chief engineer for the  
3 International Bureau, who also as I said chairs the  
4 Spectrum Efficiency Working Group.

5 This afternoon the chair will be one of  
6 the co-moderators, will be Preston Marshall from  
7 the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.  
8 Again, we are very glad to have all of our  
9 panelists here, and I would like to turn it over to  
10 David, for he has some introductory remarks. Thank  
11 you.

12 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you very much,  
13 Paul. This morning we are going to talk about  
14 Spectrum Efficiency, and one of the questions that  
15 comes to mind right away, at least to many of the  
16 engineers that are here, is what is spectrum  
17 efficiency and how do you define it.

18 Is it just the amount of information  
19 that is transmitted, divided by the amount of  
20 spectrum that is used, or are there other less  
21 obvious, more subtle, aspects to the definition of  
22 spectrum efficiency.

23 And I think by the end of this session,  
24 around noontime, we should have at least a better  
25 understanding, if not some kind of definition of

1 what spectrum efficiency might be. I think that  
2 there are a good number of panelists here who will  
3 contribute to that.

4 I work for Global Star. Global Star is  
5 a satellite organization that provides mobile  
6 satellite service on a nearly global basis, and one  
7 of the things that we are concerned about in the  
8 mobile satellite service, and also in the satellite  
9 service in general is spectrum; and how to acquire  
10 spectrum; how to best use the spectrum, and how to  
11 maintain the spectrum.

12 When one talks about maintenance of  
13 spectrum, it has many aspects. One is what is the  
14 best use for it, and how is it applied most  
15 efficiency, and one of the other ones is how do we  
16 keep it, for want of a better word, clean.

17 How do we make sure that we can use the  
18 spectrum without being affected by interference or  
19 noise that may arise. I think another question  
20 that we are going to talk about today is it more  
21 efficient to use spectrum to reach, let's say, 90  
22 percent of the people in 50 percent of the country,  
23 or is it better to reach 15 percent of the people  
24 in 99 percent of the country.

25 There seems to be a difference between

1 terrestrial and space applications, or satellite  
2 applications in spectrum. It is easy to see that  
3 especially in a city like Washington, that there are  
4 a lot of terrestrial uses in cellular telephones,  
5 and two-way radios, and things like that.

6 But if one goes outside of the city,  
7 and into the more less densely populated areas of  
8 the country, you don't see as many cell towers, and  
9 you don't see people with cell phones. You don't  
10 even see people with too many two-way radios.

11 They are kind of out there and if they  
12 need immediate communication, they have to go to  
13 some means to try and achieve that end. And this  
14 is one of the places that the definition of  
15 spectral efficiency comes into play.

16 Is it more efficient to just use  
17 certain pieces of spectrum for terrestrial, or is  
18 it good to have both terrestrial and satellite in  
19 the same frequency band.

20 This is something that has worked in  
21 some places in the country, and in sharing between  
22 the fixed-satellite service, the geostationary  
23 satellites, and the fixed-service radio relay. But  
24 the question is, is it a good policy for most of  
25 the spectrum.

1           As I said before one of the other  
2 issues that I don't think we are going to deal with  
3 directly, but that we have to take into  
4 consideration, is interference. More and more each  
5 day, we become more dependent, and maybe not  
6 dependent, but we become accustomed to the  
7 convenience that is provided by devices that emit  
8 electromagnetic radiation.

9           Not all these devices do it on purpose.  
10          Sometimes they do it just incidentally. Hence,  
11 the name, incidental radiators. There are not very  
12 many things that one can see today that don't have  
13 embedded processors in them.

14          Even refrigerators now use computers to  
15 keep track of temperature and things like that.  
16 Each one of these embedded processors emits  
17 radiation, often radiation at different frequencies  
18 that has nothing to do with the processing, but it  
19 contributes to the general background interference  
20 that is on the rise day by day.

21          So this becomes also a factor that has  
22 to be taken into account in spectrum efficiency.  
23 So with that, I think that we can go on to the  
24 panel. I guess we should let everyone know who the  
25 panel is.

1           We have Merrill Weiss from the Merrill  
2 Weiss Group. We have Charles Trimble from Trimble  
3 Navigation, and he is representing the United  
4 States GPS Industry Council today.

5           We have C.K. Toh, who is the Director  
6 of Research for TRW; and Rick Engelman, on my left  
7 here; Ulrich Rohde, from Synergy Microwave  
8 Corporation. I was going to say Rhoda and  
9 Schwartz.

10           We have Paul Rinaldo from the American  
11 Radio Relay League; Stephen Blust, from Cingular  
12 Wireless. I was going to say Bell South. It used  
13 to be. But Cingular Wireless. And finally Steve  
14 Gillig, who is the Director of Research for  
15 Motorola.

16           So I think we can kick things off with  
17 one of the first questions, which is one of the  
18 ones that I asked initially in my opening remarks,  
19 is how should spectrum efficiency be defined.

20           Now the next question is who do I want  
21 to stick with being the first speaker. I think I  
22 will let Mr. Blust open up for us.

23           MR. BLUST: Well, thank you for the  
24 opportunity to address that broad ranging question  
25 on spectrum efficiency be defined. I think long

1 and short, as it can be defined in many different  
2 ways, is the question that I think we are wrestling  
3 with.

4 Often I think a definition of spectrum  
5 efficiency is almost one that is a form and fit,  
6 versus the function. What are you trying to  
7 accomplish by defining spectrum efficiency may  
8 indeed impact how you define it.

9 As we pointed out in the opening  
10 remarks, it is often a function of whether you are  
11 trying to do it in a technical basis, or on a  
12 policy basis, or an economic basis. I think part  
13 of what we need to consider when we talk about  
14 spectrum efficiency is what are we implying it to  
15 in terms of the service and capability.

16 Is spectrum efficiency in a definition  
17 the same definition for, for example, commercial  
18 wireless, or broadcast, or satellite, or a defense,  
19 or some sort of wireless internet application.

20 You may be able to do it in general  
21 terms, but I think that the specifics of the  
22 situation very much influences the definition.

23 MR. WEINREICH: Okay. Thank you,  
24 Steve. Are there other comments on the panel who  
25 would like to address?

1 MR. GILLIG: Yes.

2 MR. WEINREICH: Yes, Mr. Gillig,  
3 please.

4 MR. GILLIG: I would like to comment.  
5 One of the things that -- I do agree that the  
6 service is very important, and the different unlike  
7 services that are hard to measure using the same  
8 means and measurement, and the same equation.

9 One thing though that that we would  
10 like to see, we think that some sort of a reference  
11 system model is something that we need here. As we  
12 are trying to determine how to measure it, one of  
13 the things that is helpful is to be able to  
14 actually simulate the traffic.

15 So we think that a reference model that  
16 perhaps picks a hot area, such as an urban area of  
17 a large city, that sets up a particular landscape  
18 of buildings, and users, and streets, and then  
19 looks at things like path loss and multi-path  
20 between any two locations, and models that.

21 And then looks at the user traffic  
22 versus time, and sets up some sort of a reference  
23 model that we can all use to do simulations, and  
24 then talk with some sort of a common basis, is very  
25 useful.

1 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you.

2 DR. ROHDE: Can I add something?

3 MR. WEINREICH: Sure. Dr. Rohde.

4 DR. ROHDE: My view is that you start  
5 with something which is called information. Let's  
6 assume at this meeting here that we have a video  
7 monitor, and if you look at the video monitor, you  
8 have information, which is the picture.

9 And you are now trying to transmit this  
10 picture to a particular audience. So given the  
11 fact that you have information, you have to ask the  
12 question how much bandwidth do we need.

13 And efficiency certainly has to do with  
14 bandwidth, and how the signal arrives at the  
15 receiving end. So if you can compress the same  
16 picture with a certain resolution or quality, the  
17 definition of efficiency then lies into things like  
18 compression and resolution.

19 And then, of course, not all  
20 transmissions arrive for the first time, which  
21 means you have to retransmit certain things. So as  
22 a fact of this, you have information, and you have  
23 bandwidths, and the time, how often do we have to  
24 transmit this.

25 These are all factors which determine

1 the efficiency, and needless to say, if you can do  
2 it in one rapid transmission and you get all the  
3 essential things -- like the human voice has a lot  
4 of redundancy.

5 You can take a lot of things out, like  
6 if we say "eh" or some other comments which are  
7 totally unrelated, like a delay, because you tried  
8 to think in between.

9 So you can shrink the information to a  
10 degree where it is more efficient, and I think I  
11 would like to see the efficiency defined, starting  
12 with the information. What is the piece of  
13 information that I am trying to convey from a to b,  
14 and then how to deal with it.

15 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you, Dr. Rohde,  
16 for a little information on the theoretic aspect of  
17 spectral efficiency. Charlie Trimble.

18 MR. TRIMBLE: Thanks, Dave. As the  
19 comments were made, and as we look across the  
20 various services that you want to use spectrum for,  
21 the definition I think we all will agree will  
22 differ.

23 It relatively easy to look in a given  
24 service and say is one scheme more efficient than  
25 another, and I think people of good will can come

1 to an agreement on that.

2 But there is something that goes across  
3 the entire range of services. From a tactical  
4 theoretical standpoint, the channel capacity  
5 according to Shannon is defined by the signal to  
6 the noise ratio. And at any given set of power  
7 levels, then the signal to noise ratio is  
8 determined by the unintended or existing noise  
9 floor.

10 And so as Dave mentioned earlier,  
11 worrying about the noise floor, which is to  
12 spectrum a lot like smog is to the atmosphere, this  
13 is the one thing that cuts across all services, and  
14 so monitoring the noise floor and monitoring what  
15 the effect of decisions or how various groups  
16 control and maintain their noise floor, is going to  
17 be very key to spectral efficiency.

18 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you, Charlie.  
19 Mr. Merrill, please; or Merrill. I'm sorry.

20 MR. WEISS: Picking up on what Charlie  
21 was saying, there is another effect that is going  
22 on in the world with respect to the noise floor,  
23 and that is from all of the incidental radiators  
24 which were mentioned earlier.

25 When you look at different parts of the

1 spectrum, you see different amounts of noise  
2 showing up. An example of that is that when we  
3 look -- and I happened to come out of the broadcast  
4 world, and so let me use that as a basis.

5 When we look at low VHF versus high  
6 VHF, versus UHF, for instance, we have to apply  
7 different models, because at low VHF, there is a  
8 substantial amount of man-made noise, and it comes  
9 from power lines, and the breakdown of insulators  
10 on power lines, and the breakdown of insulators on  
11 power lines.

12 And all those kinds of things that are  
13 beyond the control of even the FCC, in terms of  
14 controlling radiation by the rules and regulations.

15 So that has to be modeled, and the model of that  
16 maintained if you want to know what you can do, for  
17 instance, at low VHF, because it is increasing over  
18 time.

19 And if you go back and look at the  
20 studies that were done 2 or 3 decades ago, you get  
21 a different number than you get today. And not  
22 keeping track of that can give you some unintended  
23 consequences.

24 For example, if you look at the studies  
25 that were done to decide on broadcast allotments,

1 you will find that the channel models that were  
2 used for low VHF are off by something like 10 to 15  
3 dB. That is work that has just been done in the  
4 last few months to try and figure that out.

5 And it is because the numbers that were  
6 used for -- at least it is partially because, some  
7 of the numbers that were used for what the noise  
8 floor was were wrong. They were old. And by  
9 taking data that is old and considering it to be  
10 correct and current, you can make some big  
11 mistakes.

12 And so the model has to be one that  
13 counts for the changes in the environment. So that  
14 is just one addition that I would add to Stephen  
15 Blust's uncertainty principle for spectrum policy  
16 or spectrum efficiency.

17 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you. Mr. Gillig  
18 again, please.

19 MR. GILLIG: Yes. One further comment  
20 on the actual, in many cases the devices themselves  
21 that you carry around you, we need to consider what  
22 the energy requirements on that device will be for  
23 meeting a certain spectral efficiency, because  
24 certainly we are getting used to seeing fairly high  
25 data rate transfers for things like wireless LAN.

1           And the reason that you can do that is  
2           because it is short range and the power levels are  
3           relatively low. When you start talking about wide  
4           area coverage to try to do the same thing at those  
5           data rates in a wide area, requires quite a bit  
6           more energy. And if you are talking about portable  
7           devices, we need to take that into account also.

8           MR. WEINREICH: Let's see. Mr. Toh,  
9           first, and then Mr. Weiss.

10          DR. TOH: Okay. Let me just make a  
11          disclaimer that all my views are not representative  
12          of my company, but from an engineer and former  
13          professor point of view.

14          The very fact that you want to strive  
15          for spectrum efficiency is because we have limited  
16          spectrum, right? So, to what degree of efficiency  
17          we want to strive for. Should we look into the  
18          aspect of the very nature of how we look at  
19          frequencies to operators to services.

20          I agree with some of the panelists in  
21          terms of the fact of servers efficiency, and  
22          technical efficiency, and how much bits you can  
23          transmit per hertz. Technical innovation. So  
24          given a limited range of spectrum, what kind of  
25          traffic, and to what capacity we can transport

1 within that range of spectrum.

2 So this multi-dimension thing will  
3 eventually come into play, and we have seen the  
4 evolution of CDMA, for example. So frequency  
5 dimension is just one thing that I mention, and  
6 nothing is stopping the engineers from looking  
7 beyond that dimension.

8 And the other thing I felt was that in  
9 terms of economic efficiency, how much does it cost  
10 for an operator to acquire a certain range of the  
11 license for the spectrum.

12 How much for the user to pay to  
13 transport a certain amount of bits per hertz. So  
14 there is this FCC's point of view, user point of  
15 view, and the operator point of view. So I think  
16 it is a complex thing, and needs to be looked at in  
17 different dimensions before one can come to a  
18 conclusion that we have effectively made good use  
19 of the spectrum.

20 MR. WEINREICH: Mr. Weiss, please.

21 MR. WEISS: I was just going to follow  
22 up on what Steve Gillig said a moment ago. He was  
23 talking about application in mobile uses, and I  
24 would posit that that the very same factor is  
25 important for fixed-uses as well.

1           If you take the absolute extreme  
2 opposite of a cellular telephone, and talk about a  
3 broadcast transmitter, it is probably the most  
4 powerful transmitter, except for maybe some radars  
5 and things, or -- well, specialized military  
6 applications perhaps, but the most powerful of the  
7 -- let's call it civilian applications that is  
8 around.

9           And I would posit that the same factors  
10 are at play. That is you put up a big tower and a  
11 powerful transmitter, you will cause interference  
12 over a larger range than if you put up a number of  
13 smaller towers and at lower power, and you will get  
14 much better efficiency in terms of coverage from  
15 that aggregation of towers than you will from the  
16 big one, and you will cause interference over a  
17 smaller area.

18           My question becomes can we build  
19 broadcast systems that work that way, and maybe  
20 later we can get into some of that. But I would  
21 suggest that now that we are moving into the  
22 digital realm that we can.

23           MR. WEINREICH: Thanks, Mr. Weiss. It  
24 almost sounded like a commercial for low power FM.

25

1 MR. WEISS: Oh, no.

2 MR. WEINREICH: I was being facetious.  
3 Okay. Steve.

4 MR. BLUST: Just one more comment,  
5 which is that what I think you hear also is that no  
6 matter how you define efficiency for a service for  
7 the moment for the technology, is that there are  
8 many, many factors which come into play even after  
9 you were to define it.

10 If you were to use it as a tool to make  
11 comparisons, and the model is only as good as the  
12 model can be, when you get into the real world  
13 deployments, and we see these other factors and  
14 other influences come into play, which are often  
15 outside of the control of the scope of the model, a  
16 lot of times that can significantly change the  
17 answers that you get when you run a purely  
18 engineering calculation in a lab environment, for  
19 example.

20 MR. WEINREICH: Thank you. Well, I am  
21 not sure how well we have done in defining spectrum  
22 efficiency. I see that Mr. Rinaldo wants to add a  
23 word. Please do, sir.

24 MR. RINALDO: The classical definition  
25 usually amounts to information transmitted, or

1 desired to be transmitted, or desired to be  
2 transmitted over the product of time bandwidth and  
3 spacial, or the geography.

4 And this is pretty good, except that it  
5 doesn't take into account everything. There are  
6 other dimensions as have been pointed out here. I  
7 would say that one view of the bottom line is  
8 frequency reused. That's what we are into these  
9 days.

10 If you use a frequency, can somebody  
11 use it down the road that may be unrelated to you.

12 So I think the definition really comes down to how  
13 much do you need, versus how much you use. Thank  
14 you.

15 MR. WEINREICH: Thanks, Paul.

16 DR. ROHDE: Can I add something to  
17 this?

18 MR. WEINREICH: Certainly.

19 DR. ROHDE: Actually, Paul Rinaldo  
20 would probably say this. One of the big users of  
21 spectrum is the ham radio community, and  
22 theoretically when all ham radio folk use, they  
23 were on the forefront, and they were the  
24 experimentals and did all the things.

25 And today we are stuck with two