

From: "Jason Green" <danfamous@hotmail.com>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)
Date: 1/11/00 4:35PM
Subject: LPFM

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2000

Tues. Jan. 11th, 2000

SUNSHINE PERIODFEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Honorable Chairman Kennard:

I am a supporter of the creation of a Low Power FM (LPFM) radio service as outlined in the FCC's NPRM Docket MM 99-25, which called for creation of 1000 watt and 100 watt commercial and non-commercial LPFM stations nationwide.

It has come to my attention that the FCC intends to vote at its Jan.20th meeting to severely gut this proposal (NPRM) providing for only non-commercial stations with a maximum power of 100 watts (coverage thus limited to 3.5 miles as opposed to 9 miles for a 1000 watt station).

To place such severe limits on LPFM would doom the service before it begins, making it impossible to obtain enough financial support, without being able to sell commercial airtime, to exist.

What possible reason can the FCC give for not permitting commercially supported LPFM stations, other than to protect NAB member stations from competition? Commercial support has nothing to do with interference! There is no good reason to doom the LPFM service by takings away its ability to support itself by the sale of commerical advertising, a method of support that has served this nations stations for well over 75 years!

In fact to not allow commercial support would do a great dis-service to small businesses in America that cannot afford to advertise on full-power radio stations. Their needs would have been met by LPFM stations. A decision to not allow commerical support would have a vast negative impact on small businesses in America and may well violate some rules of the Small Business Administration.

I wish to remind you that there was an overwhelming number (thousands) of comments filed in this proceeding supporting the creation of 1000 watt and 100 watt stations, allowing for both commercial and non-commercial operations as set forth in the FCC's NPRM.

The public has spoken on this matter and to ignore this public mandate and cave in political pressure from the NAB is a disgrace and a use of such anti-competitive actions by the NAB should be investigated by the Justice Dept.

The NAB tried to cause confusion on this issue by claiming that the new LPFM stations would cause interference to existing stations. A receiver study conducted by the FCC proved this to be incorrect. The NAB raised this smokescreen issue to attempt to conceal its real dislike for LPFM, the fact that it does not want competition for listeners or advertising revenues for its member stations. The FCC cannot prevent competition and is supposed to promote competition, in your own words!

I would hope that the FCC would vote for LPFM in its full form as

No. of Copies rec'd 2
 List ABCDE

proposed in the NPRM or delay the vote to clear the way for a workable LPFM service of 1000 watts and 100 commercial and non-commercial stations.

Respectfully,

Hopeful LPFM applicant

SUNSHINE PERIOD

Mr. Jason Green
8650 Saddlebrook Cir. #6201
Naples, FL 34104
(941)304-1766

P.s. Do what your heart tells you to do, we both know minorities such as myself will not benefit from this service as proposed, as well as the FCC. The NAB is not an Administrative Agency and they cannot set laws legally over one, to the best of my knowledge. You know Congress has your back and you know all of the NAB's scams being the former President. 3 votes will always win over two Mr. Chairman, God Bless and I pray that you make the best decision you feel is best for this country that you serve.

Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>

From: "Jason Green" <danfamous@hotmail.com>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)
Date: 1/11/00 4:35PM
Subject: LPFM

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2000

Tues. Jan. 11th, 2000

Dear Honorable Chairman Kennard:

SUNSHINE PERIODFEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am a supporter of the creation of a Low Power FM (LPFM) radio service as outlined in the FCC's NPRM Docket MM 99-25, which called for creation of 1000 watt and 100 watt commercial and non-commercial LPFM stations nationwide.

It has come to my attention that the FCC intends to vote at its Jan.20th meeting to severely gut this proposal (NPRM) providing for only non-commercial stations with a maximum power of 100 watts (coverage thus limited to 3.5 miles as opposed to 9 miles for a 1000 watt station).

To place such severe limits on LPFM would doom the service before it begins, making it impossible to obtain enough financial support, without being able to sell commercial airtime, to exist.

What possible reason can the FCC give for not permitting commercially supported LPFM stations, other than to protect NAB member stations from competition? Commercial support has nothing to do with interference! There is no good reason to doom the LPFM service by takings away its ability to support itself by the sale of commercial advertising, a method of support that has served this nations stations for well over 75 years!

In fact to not allow commercial support would do a great dis-service to small businesses in America that cannot afford to advertise on full-power radio stations. Their needs would have been met by LPFM stations. A decision to not allow commercial support would have a vast negative impact on small businesses in America and may well violate some rules of the Small Business Administration.

I wish to remind you that there was an overwhelming number (thousands) of comments filed in this proceeding supporting the creation of 1000 watt and 100 watt stations, allowing for both commercial and non-commercial operations as set forth in the FCC's NPRM.

The public has spoken on this matter and to ignore this public mandate and cave in political pressure from the NAB is a disgrace and a use of such anti-competitive actions by the NAB should be investigated by the Justice Dept.

The NAB tried to cause confusion on this issue by claiming that the new LPFM stations would cause interference to existing stations. A receiver study conducted by the FCC proved this to be incorrect. The NAB raised this smokescreen issue to attempt to conceal its real dislike for LPFM, the fact that it does not want competition for listeners or advertising revenues for its member stations. The FCC cannot prevent competition and is supposed to promote competition, in your own words!

I would hope that the FCC would vote for LPFM in its full form as

proposed in the NPRM or delay the vote to clear the way for a workable LPFM service of 1000 watts and 100 commercial and non-commercial stations.

Respectfully,

Hopeful LPFM applicant

Mr. Jason Green
8650 Saddlebrook Cir. #6201
Naples, FL 34104
(941)304-1766

SUNSHINE PERIOD

P.s. Do what your heart tells you to do, we both know minorities such as myself will not benefit from this service as proposed, as well as the FCC. The NAB is not an Administrative Agency and they cannot set laws legally over one, to the best of my knowledge. You know Congress has your back and you know all of the NAB's scams being the former President. 3 votes will always win over two Mr. Chairman, God Bless and I pray that you make the best decision you feel is best for this country that you serve.

Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>