

ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** Chris Broadwell <chriss@cruzio.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(GTRISTAN),K4DOM.K4PO2(MPOWELL,SNES),K...  
**Date:** Sat, Jul 31, 1999 11:07 PM  
**Subject:** In Support of the MEC Letter on MM Docket No. 99-25

=To: The Honorable William E. Kennard Chairman,  
 Commissioner Gloria Tristani,  
 Commissioner Michael Powell  
 Commissioner Susan Ness  
 Commissioner Harold Furchgott-Roth  
 Federal Communications Commission  
 The Portals 455 Twelfth Street S.W. Washington, DC 20554  
 cc: President Clinton, Hillary Clinton, Vice President Al Gore  
 cc: Microradio Empowerment Coalition

RECEIVED

AUG 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY


MM Docket No. 99-25  
 Regarding The Creation of Low Power FM Licenses: RM-9242, RM-9208

Dear Honorable Chairman Kennard,

We are writing to commend you and the Federal Communications Commission for taking action on an issue of great importance to us - ending the 21-year ban on community access to the airwaves. We have become increasingly concerned about the growing concentration of the media in our country and are pleased that the Commission is responding to public outcry to increase opportunities for local communities to use our radio airwaves.

One of the fundamental tenets of our democracy is to ensure that diverse interests have opportunities to express themselves, not merely to be the recipients of what a handful of other people tell them. It is a nonnegotiable component of our right to a free press and free speech.

Radio is perhaps the most qualified of any media outlet to provide community access. It is a relatively inexpensive medium to produce and is well-suited to cover community issues and local culture. Unfortunately, over the past three years the U.S. radio broadcasting industry has experienced an unprecedented wave of consolidation and mergers. As a result, the electronic medium best suited to inexpensive, local programming has become arguably the most regimented and centralized of our major media. Even a multimillionaire would have trouble entering the radio broadcasting industry today, because economies of scale (permitted by deregulation) demand that a firm own numerous stations in several markets to be even remotely competitive. As for the person of average means, their lot is limited to being a passive consumer of an increasingly monopolistic industry that has less and less competitive pressure to heed the diverse, local needs of listeners. And, for poor people and others who are considered unimportant to the advertising community, radio increasingly has little to offer. Again, the great tragedy of this situation is that radio is the ideal medium to provide an accessible local service for democratic communications of interest and value to the entire population.

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
 List ABCDE

Awarding licenses for new low power FM radio stations would empower local communities with a new public forum to express its many voices, cultures, ideas, and needs. Low power radio stations would create much needed public fora for a variety of groups - including community activists, youth, ethnic and linguistic minorities, the religious community, local artists and cultural associations - and provide a forum for dialogue and debate about important local and public interest issues. These kinds of stations would strengthen community identity in urban neighborhoods, rural towns and other communities which are currently too small to win attention from "mainstream", profit-driven media.

The strong interest in independent radio stations shows that the creation of low power radio service would have wide public support. The tremendous demand for microradio is demonstrated by the emergence of a national Free Radio Movement, widespread civil disobedience, constitutional challenges of the Commission's aggressively enforced 21-year ban, as well as the proliferation of unlicensed community radio stations supported by local government, whose operators broadcast at the risk of financial losses, seizure of property, arrest, and in some cases, imprisonment.

In addition, the Commission has stated that in the last year alone, 13,000 people inquired regarding the possibility of obtaining a license for low power broadcasting in their communities.

In support with the efforts of the Microradio Empowerment Coalition ([mec@tao.ca](mailto:mec@tao.ca)), we urge you to legalize microradio in order to benefit non-commercial community groups whose interest in microradio is to communicate, to educate, and to inform, not to make money. We are confident you agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society.

To support this vision, we urge you to legalize microradio with the following concerns in mind:

1. Microradio licenses should be awarded for non-commercial use only. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate with their neighbors, not make profit from them.
2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for and limited to one per license holder; they should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250 watts in rural areas.
4. The Commission should NOT diminish new low-power stations to "secondary status." It would be a tragedy to take away licenses from low-power community stations just because the Commission subsequently granted a power increase to a pre-existing station or granted a new high power license somewhere nearby.
5. The Commission should grant full amnesty for the microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines. Their property

should be returned. They should be granted equal opportunity in applying for and receiving new licenses.

6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation (e.g. the Ham radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.
7. LPFM must be protected and maintained in the future as radio makes the transition from analog to digital broadcasting.
8. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "headstart" for non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money through local radio is not a protection under the FCC's mandate.
9. Stations should be locally programmed. However recorded materials such as music, poetry, documentaries, features etc. may be used. Sharing of program materials and resources among micro and community stations is strongly encouraged. No more than 20% of air time from off-site feeds or syndicated tapes.
10. Licenses should be awarded to unincorporated non-commercial associations, and non-profit organizations.
11. Within two years new spectrum space (including any future digital spectrum space) should be allocated for continued expansion of microradio broadcasters so that any community group that wishes to broadcast has access to available spectrum space (frequencies). Further, all manufacturers of consumer radio receivers for sale in the United States should be required to include this spectrum set aside for microradio broadcasters.
12. Licensing fees should be affordable to all communities.

Again, we commend Chairman Kennard and the Commission for your willingness to address these issues. We are hopeful that the creation of a new class of low power FM radio licensing becomes a reality during the Chairman's present term. We look forward to working on making the airwaves more accessible for our local communities.

Signed,

MEC Honorary Chair:  
Robert W. McChesney, Madison

Steering Committee:  
Sara Zia Ebrahimi, Philadelphia  
Diane Fleming, Philadelphia  
Peter Franck, San Francisco  
Amanda Huron, Washington, D.C.  
Alan Korn, San Francisco  
Greg Ruggiero, New York City

Noam Chomsky--Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Howard Zinn--Professor Emeritus, Boston University

Nancy Kranich--Librarian  
Ron Daniels--Executive Director, Center for Constitutional Rights  
George Gerbner--Founder, Cultural Environment Movement  
Edward Herman--Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania  
Janine Jackson--Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting  
Herbert Schiller--Professor Emeritus, University of California, San Diego  
Juliet Schor--Harvard University  
Mark Crispin Miller--New York University  
Laura Flanders--national producer for Pacifica Radio  
David Barsamian--Director, Alternative Radio  
Stuart Ewen -- Author  
Elaine Bernard--Harvard Trade Union Program  
Al Lewis--Organizer ("Grandpa" from the Munsters)  
Dee Dee Halleck--Deep Dish TV  
Ben Bagdikian--University of California, Berkeley  
Loretta Ross--Executive Director, National Center for Human Rights Education  
David C. Korten--Author, and Chair of the Positive Futures Network, publishers of YES Magazine  
Carl Jensen--Founder, Project Censored  
Ellen Braune--Publicist  
Jamie Love--Director, Consumer Project on Technology  
Efia Nwangaza--Attorney/Coordinator, Greenville Malcolm X Movement for Self Determination  
Dan Simon--Founder, Seven Stories Press  
Barbara Ehrenreich--Author  
Gloria Steinem--Ms.  
Mumia Abu-Jamal--Journalist  
Kurt Vonnegut-- Author

Additional Comments=Just as a large gene pool allows a species to survive in a changing environment, so diverse information sources presents the people with choices to meet the ever changing challenges of the political, economic and social structures of the future. Don't compromise the freedom of our public airwaves.

name=Chris Broadwell  
street=421 S. Branciforte Avenue  
city=Santa Cruz  
state=CA  
zip=95062  
=Send to FCC

ORIGINAL

FX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: olivia Brighton <spaceface47@yahoo.com>  
To: ROUTE\_A.GWIA1("fcomments@casiotone.radparker.com")  
Date: Sat, Jul 31, 1999 7:38 PM  
Subject: In Support of the MEC Letter on MM Docket No. 99-25

This email was submitted by olivia Brighton (spaceface47@yahoo.com) on Saturday, July 31, 1999 at 18:38:46

address: 37 old bridge dr

city: howell

state: nj

zip: 07731

Submit: Send to FCC

RECEIVED

AUG 1 2 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To: The Honorable William E. Kennard Chairman  
Commissioner Gloria Tristani  
Commissioner Michael Powell  
Commissioner Susan Ness  
Commissioner Harold Furchgott-Roth

Federal Communications Commission  
The Portals  
455 Twelfth Street S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

cc: President Clinton, Hillary Clinton, Vice President Al Gore  
cc: Microradio Empowerment Coalition  
cc: Americans for Radio Diversity

MM Docket No. 99-25  
Regarding The Creation of Low Power FM Licenses: RM-9242, RM-9208

Dear Honorable Chairman Kennard,

We are writing to commend you and the Federal Communications Commission for taking action on an issue of great importance to us - ending the 21-year ban on community access to the airwaves. We have become increasingly concerned about the growing concentration of the media in our country and are pleased that the Commission is responding to public outcry to increase opportunities for local communities to use our radio airwaves.

One of the fundamental tenets of our democracy is to ensure that diverse interests have opportunities to express themselves, not merely to be the recipients of what a handful of other people tell them. It is a nonnegotiable component of our right to a free press and free speech.

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

2

Radio is perhaps the most qualified of any media outlet to provide community access. It is a relatively inexpensive medium to produce and is well-suited to cover community issues and local culture. Unfortunately, over the past three years the U.S. radio broadcasting industry has experienced an unprecedented wave of consolidation and mergers. As a result, the electronic medium best suited to inexpensive, local programming has become arguably the most regimented and centralized of our major media. Even a multimillionaire would have trouble entering the radio broadcasting industry today, because economies of scale (permitted by deregulation) demand that a firm own numerous stations in several markets to be even remotely competitive. As for the person of average means, their lot is limited to being a passive consumer of an increasingly monopolistic industry that has less and less competitive pressure to heed the diverse, local needs of listeners. And, for poor people and others who are considered unimportant to the advertising community, radio increasingly has little to offer. Again, the great tragedy of this situation is that radio is the ideal medium to provide an accessible local service for democratic communications of interest and value to the entire population.

Awarding licenses for new low power FM radio stations would empower local communities with a new public forum to express its many voices, cultures, ideas, and needs. Low power radio stations would create much needed public fora for a variety of groups - including community activists, youth, ethnic and linguistic minorities, the religious community, local artists and cultural associations - and provide a forum for dialogue and debate about important local and public interest issues. These kinds of stations would strengthen community identity in urban neighborhoods, rural towns and other communities which are currently too small to win attention from "mainstream", profit-driven media.

The strong interest in independent radio stations shows that the creation of low power radio service would have wide public support. The tremendous demand for microradio is demonstrated by the emergence of a national Free Radio Movement, widespread civil disobedience, constitutional challenges of the Commission's aggressively enforced 21-year ban, as well as the proliferation of unlicensed community radio stations supported by local government, whose operators broadcast at the risk of financial losses, seizure of property, arrest, and in some cases, imprisonment.

In addition, the Commission has stated that in the last year alone, 13,000 people inquired regarding the possibility of obtaining a license for low power broadcasting in their communities. In support with the efforts of the Microradio Empowerment Coalition ([mec@tao.ca](mailto:mec@tao.ca)) and Americans for Radio Diversity ([ard@radparker.com](mailto:ard@radparker.com)), we urge you to legalize microradio in order to benefit non-commercial community groups whose interest in microradio is to communicate, to educate, and to inform, not to make money. We are confident you agree that broad

citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society.

To support this vision, we urge you to legalize microradio with the following concerns in mind:

1. Microradio licenses should be awarded for non-commercial use only. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate with their neighbors, not make profit from them.
2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for and limited to one per license holder; they should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250 watts in rural areas.
4. The Commission should NOT diminish new low-power stations to "secondary status." It would be a tragedy to take away licenses from low-power community stations just because the Commission subsequently granted a power increase to a pre-existing station or granted a new high power license somewhere nearby.
5. The Commission should grant full amnesty for the microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines. Their property should be returned. They should be granted equal opportunity in applying for and receiving new licenses.
6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation (e.g. the Ham radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.
7. LPFM must be protected and maintained in the future as radio makes the transition from analog to digital broadcasting.
8. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "headstart" for non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money through local radio is not a protection under the FCC's mandate.
9. Stations should be locally programmed. However recorded materials such as music, poetry, documentaries, features etc. may be used. Sharing of program materials and resources among micro and community stations is strongly encouraged. No more than 20% of air time from off-site feeds or syndicated tapes.
10. Licenses should be awarded to unincorporated non-commercial associations, and non-profit organizations.
11. Within two years new spectrum space (including any future digital spectrum space) should be allocated for continued

expansion of microradio broadcasters so that any community group that wishes to broadcast has access to available spectrum space (frequencies). Further, all manufacturers of consumer radio receivers for sale in the United States should be required to include this spectrum set aside for microradio broadcasters.

12. Licensing fees should be affordable to all communities.

Again, we commend Chairman Kennard and the Commission for your willingness to address these issues. We are hopeful that the creation of a new class of low power FM radio licensing becomes a reality during the Chairman's present term. We look forward to working on making the airwaves more accessible for our local communities.

Signed,

MEC Honorary Chair:  
Robert W. McChesney, Madison

Steering Committee:  
Sara Zia Ebrahimi, Philadelphia  
Diane Fleming, Philadelphia  
Peter Franck, San Francisco  
Amanda Huron, Washington, D.C.  
Alan Korn, San Francisco  
Greg Ruggiero, New York City

Noam Chomsky--Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Howard Zinn--Professor Emeritus, Boston University  
Nancy Kranich--Librarian  
Ron Daniels--Executive Director, Center for Constitutional Rights  
George Gerbner--Founder, Cultural Environment Movement  
Edward Herman--Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania  
Janine Jackson--Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting  
Herbert Schiller--Professor Emeritus, University of California, San Diego  
Juliet Schor--Harvard University  
Mark Crispin Miller--New York University  
Laura Flanders--national producer for Pacifica Radio  
David Barsamian--Director, Alternative Radio  
Stuart Ewen -- Author  
Elaine Bernard--Harvard Trade Union Program  
Al Lewis--Organizer ("Grandpa" from the Munsters)  
Dee Dee Halleck--Deep Dish TV  
Ben Bagdikian--University of California, Berkeley  
Loretta Ross--Executive Director, National Center for Human Rights Education  
David C. Korten, Author, and Chair of the Positive Futures Network,  
publishers of YES Magazine  
Carl Jensen--Founder, Project Censored  
Ellen Braune--Publicist  
Jamie Love--Director, Consumer Project on Technology  
Efia Nwangaza -- Attorney/Coordinator, Greenville Malcolm X Grassroots  
Movement for Self Determination  
Dan Simon--Founder, Seven Stories Press  
Gary Ruskin--Director, Commercial Alert

Barbara Ehrenreich--Author  
Gloria Steinem--Ms.  
Mumia Abu-Jamal--Journalist  
Kurt Vonnegut-- Author

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL  
DOCKET FILE COPY DUPLICATE

From: joseph dalessandro <jdman@magpage.com>  
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)  
Date: Mon, Aug 9, 1999 7:44 AM  
Subject: suit

RECEIVED

99-25

AUG 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Subject: suit  
Date: Mon, 09 Aug 1999 07:22:53 -0400  
From: joseph dalessandro <jdman@magpage.com>  
To: Anti Trust <antitrust@usdoj.gov>

\*\*\*\*\*

Airways can not be Monopolized by the use of high Wattage and  
Translators placed all over the  
United States, The NAB has Done this, against the Custom laws of our  
Nation, the Airways belong  
to the American Public not Corporate America.

\*\*\*\*\*

A.  
DOJ  
US The Department Of Justice Anti-Trust Division  
JOEL I. KLEIN  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

ED THE  
AMERICAN CITIZENS OF THERE RIGHT, THERE AIRWAYS  
READ RED LION CASE BELOW! I FILE A SUIT and COMPLAINT AGANIST THE NAB  
FOR MY  
SELF AND THE AMERICAN PUBLIC.

The Radio Industry Belongs To the NAB Threw Monopolization ,But the  
Airways Belong to Olga and  
The American Public The NAB has Monopolized The Airways which is against  
the Law.

DO SOMETHING THESE PEOPLE HAVE ROBB

FCC and DOJ ,Regress All NAB Stations to 10 to 3000 Watts , Plus  
Disband All Translators.

=====

PREFACE: Date 8/9/99

The NAB has Monopolize the Radio Industry, but the Real Crime is,

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

the NAB has Monopolized the American Citizens Airways with Power Levels of Ten Thousand Watts to One Hundread and Fifty Thousand Watts,plus these same NAB Stations place "Translators"all over the United States to get there signals into more STATES.

\*\*\*\*\*

To; Honorable Congress Of The Republic Of The United States Hold CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS ON THE NAB,CPB,and NPR And Their Stealing and Monopolizing Of The Publics Airways,Threw high Wattage ERP and Transalators.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Supreme Court has consistently upheld the principle that broadcasters can be required to act in the public interest. The landmark case is Red Lion v. Federal Communications Commission. Despite changes in FCC policy over time, that 1969 decision remains a crucial legal interpretation of broadcast law, making clear that the broadcast spectrum is owned by the public, whose interests must be served. LPFM Docket MM 99-25

\*\*\*\*\*

The NAB Will not Morally Debase,and Decay the Custom Laws of The United States

The NAB has no Constitutional Law to stop and or should not even attempt to squash this opportunity of what our Country was Built on Free Enterprise. All of the NAB need to be held accountable,and liable for this Act of Betrayal,and Trust of the American Public.

=====

Dear FCC: Honorable Chairman Kennard: Honorable Commissioners:

I Mr.D'Alessandro, i ask for as a right,for you to live up to the Custom Laws of a Nation,and all NAB stations over 6000 Watts be Regressed back according to the Radio Act of 1927 and Telecommunications Act of 1934, with the necessary or desired wattage allowed to serve there Community of License,Not Several States,and Disband all TRANSLATORS,This is the LAW.

The NAB Has Made This a Multi-Billion Dollar Business "at the exspense of the Publics Airways", For The Rich and The Radio Conglomerates.And Screw The American Public Out of There

Right To  
Their Airways.The Acts Where for Community Citizens to Broadcast  
to their  
Communities,not Several States.

SEC. 311. [47 U.S.C. 311] SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS WITH  
RESPECT TO CERTAIN  
APPLICATIONS IN THE BROADCASTING SERVICE.

(1) shall give notice of such filing in the principal area which is  
served  
or is to be served by the  
station;  
and ,This means the Community of License,No More then 10 to 3000  
Watts,this  
does not mean  
Several States and 100 thousand Watts

(b) Hearings referred to in subsection (a) may be held at such places  
as  
the Commission shall  
determine to  
be appropriate, and in making such determination in any case the  
Commission  
shall consider  
whether the  
public interest the Publics Community, convenience, or necessity will  
be  
served by conducting the  
hearing at a place in, or in the  
vicinity of, the principal area to be served by the station involved  
Community of License.

=====

US The Department Of Justice Anti-Trust Division  
JOEL I. KLEIN  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue,NW  
Washington,DC 20530-0001

You Need to File a Suit Aganist The NAB On My SUPPORT And  
The American Public Now , what are you doing  
They have Monopolized the Physical Buildings Radio Broadcast  
Stations,But they have Monopolized the Publics Free Airways,no  
Spectrum Left for Woman,Blacks,and Minorities!

Your NAB Stations Refuse to put  
my Wife Olga on the air even just once a Month 2 hours you know  
why  
she  
plays Black R&B Group Harmony Music From 1940's & 50's  
Plus she Teaches about the Groups and Music.The Red Necks The  
Country  
Bumkins are afraid they will loose Advertising Dollars to Promote  
Black

Kids from 1950's.

Discrimination Etc.

=====

Please Discern!

"Monopolize The American Publics Air Ways i mean the Spectrum  
Air Space!

Took Away Free Enterprise!

The Sherman Anti-Trust Act of 1890

Every contract, combination in the form of trust NAB TRUST or  
otherwise, or  
conspiracy, in restraint of Free Enterprise LPFM FCC Docket MM  
99-25, No Air

Space no spectrum!

trade or commerce among the several States, or with foreign  
nations,

is

declared to be illegal. Every  
person who shall make any contract or engage in any combination or  
conspiracy hereby declared to be  
illegal shall be deemed guilty of a felony, and, on conviction thereof,  
shall be punished by fine not  
exceeding ten million dollars if a corporation, or, if any other person,

three hundred and fifty thousand  
dollars, or by imprisonment not exceeding three years, or by both  
said  
punishments, in the discretion of  
the court.

F.

I am Disabled, Olga Works Two Jobs, Ed Frits  
The NAB and CPB are  
Stealing My and Olga's Right to Partake in  
The Radio Broadcast  
Business. And i want a Non-Profit 50 Watt  
Station.

This is the Biggest Crime of all aganist the American Public by the  
NAB and

The FCC. The NAB has Monopolize the ownership of all Radio  
Broadcast  
Stations.

"Monopolize The American Publics Air Ways i mean the  
Spectrum, they have the

NAB Several Thousand Stations from 10 Thousand to 100 Thousand  
Watts to  
Cover States rather than there Communities ,this Criminal Act has  
kept  
Woman, Blacks, and Minorities from owning there own True  
Community Radio

Stations NO SPECTRUM LEFT, the evidence is overwhelming.

Olga will own a LPFM Station Now or a Million Dollar Station in Several years.

=====

FCC Downgrade The Current NAB and CPB Stations, to The Requirements of The 1934 Radio Act, 10 to 3000 Watts to Legally Serve It's Community, this gives Woman, Blacks and Minorities a opportunity to Compete Against White Corporate America The NAB and CPB, and have a Community Voice The NAB and CPB should not object after all they insist they are for and are Community Radio.

There are Several Thousand NAB & CPB Stations in Excess

of Ten (10000) to One Hundred (100000) Thousand Watts this type of Activity is Discrimination and Fascism Toward Woman, Blacks and Minorities who want a LPFM Station FCC Docket MM 99-25.

This Is The Legal Law For Radio Broadcast and Needs To Be Brought To Civil Rights Court, For Punitive Damage To Woman, Blacks and Minorities By The NAB, CPB, and The FCC.

=====

G.

Philosophical and Legal Foundations of Commercial


Radio

The basic landmark agreement between commercial radio and the people of the


United States was established in the Communications Act of 1934 and has become the unifying thread of all telecommunications laws since then. The basic agreement was actually established in the years before.

This important law established basic philosophical principles: The airways are public property. Commercial broadcasters are licensed use the airways. The main condition for use will be whether the broadcaster served "the

public interest, convenience,  
and necessity."



H. Communications Act's Of 1927 & 1934,Which Where  
Established  
for the  
American People,All The People,not Just The Rich and  
Wealthy,Radio  
Conglomerates,The NAB,or Investment Firms.The Act's where  
created for all  
people to have an Opportunity,to Compete,on a JUST AND  
HONEST,PRINCIPAL  
FOUNDATION.The NAB Ed Fritz,Jeff Baumann,and Steve  
Bookshester have Taken  
the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934,1996 and used it with  
Total  
Disregard  
Aganist 99.9 % of the Peoples Democracy.



Ed Frits Your A Scam!  
Your Test Will Prove to be a Scam,There is Not 28 Kind Of  
Radios In the American Publics Hand As of This date,You must of  
Tested  
Watch radios and 1950's Sleeve Radios. Your a Joke and a Foolish  
Old  
Man looking to Stuff His Pockets,and You Want Supreme White  
Corporate America Power!

figures can lie and liars can figure. that's the bottom line on  
the interpretation of some of those studies,Conducted by The NAB

reception The studies indicate there is a huge amount of  
existing radios that will NOT support lpfm  
and reject 2nd channel and in some cases 3rd  
channel.

which studies?  
is the huge amount purely a quantity of receivers or  
the  
number  
determine of models studied. if the latter are there numbers to  
the percentage penetration of the consumer market for

each  
 talking  
 receivers  
 that  
 or  
 3rd  
 often at  
 in  
 any study  
 reception?  
 many  
 those  
 consumer  
 would

model. is there any aging on these studies or are we  
 about all the receivers ever made.  
 according to the studies i've seen most of the  
 don't discriminate between the desired channel and 2nd  
 adjacents also receive interference on 4th adjacents,  
 similar levels. those receivers shouldn't be included  
 because they fail the current regulatory standard.  
 which raises the question, what do you mean by lpfm  
 second and third adjacent stations are commonplace in  
 areas. are you saying that these radios don't work in  
 places. i'm not aware of a huge outcry from the  
 because of these existing 3rd and 2nd adjacents. why  
 lower powered facilities pose a problem?

The fcc's broadcast interference regulations  
 only refer to fixed receivers. mobile sets are not  
 included. so if  
 these portable radios don't meet the interference  
 standard  
 (whatever that may be), it is irrelevant.  
 broadcasters are not required to protect mobile  
 receivers.

=====

There is not 28 Radios of Kind in the Universe,Ed you must of tested  
 Watch Radios,to 1950's shirt slevve Radios You are a SCAM Ed Frits

I.

NAB Stations Cause Huge  
 Interfearence, CREATE CHAOS  
 ON THE AIRWAVES.The NAB Wants LPFM FCC  
 Docket MM 99-25  
 to be the Fall Guy.Solve the Problem FCC give LPFM Equal  
 Spectrum  
 How????

Go out and Limit The NAB Stations to 10 to 3000 WATTS as stated in the 1934 Communications Act to Serve Only your Community,Not Several States

Hey Ed Your Station is way over Rated he only needs 200 watts to serve his Community not 100 Thousand Watts to serve 6 states. There SEVERAL STATIONS ACROSS UNITED STATES WITH HUGE INTERFEARENCE PROBLEMS DUE TO HUGE ILLEGAL WATTAGE OF THOUSANDS!!

=====  
Heres a Prime Example of Misuse of the 1934 & 1996 Act's.

Ocean City Md. is 10 miles long and 3 miles wide,a 200 watt Transmitter with a Cicular Polarization Omni Antenna would Cover the Community with No problem You the FCC Licensed NAB Froggy 99.9 FM in Ocean City Md. for 100 Thousand Watts he transmits to 6 states is this communitry radio i think not this bum is keeping me and others in 6 states from useing 99.9 FM Frequencey,you the FCC need to go around the country and knock down all these stations to 10 to 3000 watts,this is your interfearence problem,a good lawyer can make a case of this,DISCRIMINATION ETC.  
This Bum Has Whole East Coast Tied Up.

=====

Date: 8/5/99  
From: Mr. Joseph D'Alessandro  
94 Angola Estates  
Lewes, Delaware 19958  
302-945-1554

Subject: Member # 8512 7568 1596 4858 ACLU

Subject: Member People For the American Way  
2000 M Street, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036

Subject Member: REFORM PARTY  
P.O. Box 9  
Dallas, Texas 75221

CC:  
NAB  
FCC  
Civil Rights Organizations

From: joseph dalessandro <jdman@magpage.com>  
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)  
Date: Fri, Aug 6, 1999 5:58 AM  
Subject: (no subject)

████████████████████  
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

99-25

RECEIVED

AUG 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

"Monopolize The American Publics Air Ways i mean the Spectrum Air Space!

PREFACE:

I am Disabled,Olga Works Two Jobs,Ed Frits The NAB and CPB are Stealing My and Olga's Right to Partake in The Radio Broadcast Business.And i want a Non-Profit 50 Watt Station.

This is the Biggest Crime of all aganist the American Public by the NAB and the FCC.The NAB has Monopolize the ownership of all Radio Broadcast Stations.

"Monopolize The American Publics Air Ways i mean the Spectrum,they have the NAB Several Thousand Stations from 10 Thousand to 100 Thousand Watts to

Cover States rather then there Communities ,this Criminal Act has kept Woman,Blacks,and Minorities from owning there own True Community Radio Stations NO SPECTRUM LEFT, the evidence is overwelming.

Olga will own a LPFM Station Now or a Million Dollar Station in Serveral years.

FCC Downgrade The Current NAB and CPB Stations, to

The Requirements of The 1934 Radio Act,10 to 3000 Watts to Legally Serve It's Community,this gives Woman,Blacks and Minorities a oportunity to Compete Aganist White Corporate America The NAB and CPB,and have a Community Voice The NAB and CPB should not object after all they insist they are for and

are Community Radio.

There are Several Thousand NAB & CPB Stations in

Excess

of Ten (10000) to One Hundread (100000) Thousand Watts this type of Activity is Discrimination and Fascism Toward Woman,Blacks and Minorities who want a

LPFM Station FCC Docket MM 99-25.

This Is The Legal Law For Radio Broadcast and Needs To Be Brought To Civil Rights Court,For Punitive Damage To Woman,Blacks and Minorities By The NAB,CPB,and The FCC.

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

## Philosophical and Legal Foundations of Commercial

### Radio

The basic landmark agreement between commercial radio and the people of the

United States was established in the Communications Act of 1934 and has become the unifying thread of all telecommunications laws since then. The basic agreement was actually established in the years before.

This important law established basic philosophical principles:  
 The airways are public property.  
 Commercial broadcasters are licensed use the airways.  
 The main condition for use will be whether the broadcaster served "the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

Communications Act's Of 1927 & 1934, Which Where  
 Established  
 for the  
 American People, All The People, not Just The Rich and  
 Wealthy, Radio  
 Conglomerates, The NAB, or Investment Firms. The Act's where  
 created for all  
 people to have an Opportunity, to Compete, on a JUST AND  
 HONEST, PRINCIPAL  
 FOUNDATION. The NAB Ed Fritz, Jeff Baumann, and Steve  
 Bookshester have Taken  
 the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934, 1996 and used it with  
 Total  
 Disregard  
 Against 99.9 % of the Peoples Democracy.

figures can lie and liars can figure. that's the bottom line on  
 the interpretation of some of those studies, Conducted by The NAB

The studies indicate there is a huge amount of  
 existing radios that will NOT support lpfm  
 reception

and reject 2nd channel and in some cases 3rd channel.

which studies?

the number of models studied. if the latter are there numbers to determine the percentage penetration of the consumer market for each model. is there any aging on these studies or are we talking about all the receivers ever made.

receivers that don't discriminate between the desired channel and 2nd or 3rd adjacents also receive interference on 4th adjacents, often at similar levels. those receivers shouldn't be included in any study because they fail the current regulatory standard.

reception? which raises the question, what do you mean by lpfm second and third adjacent stations are commonplace in many areas. are you saying that these radios don't work in those places. i'm not aware of a huge outcry from the consumer because of these existing 3rd and 2nd adjacents. why would lower powered facilities pose a problem?

**Ed Frits Your A Scam!**

**Your Test Will Prove to be a Scam, There is Not 28 Kind Of Radios In the American Publics Hand As of This date, You must of Tested Watch radios and 1950's Sleeve Radios. Your a Joke and a Foolish Old Man looking to Stuff His Pockets, and You Want Supreme White Corporate America Power!**

YES or NO NAB

Frits i will win in Court. Your NAB Stations Refuse to put my Wife Olga on the air even just once a Month 2 hours you know why she plays Black R&B Group Harmony Music From 1940's & 50's Plus she Teaches about the Groups and Music.The Red Necks The Country Bumkins are afraid they will loose Advertising Dollars to Promote Black Kids from 1950's.  
Discrimination Etc.

There is not 28 Radios of Kind in the Universe,Ed you must of tested Watch Radios,to 1950's shirt slevve Radios You are a SCAM Ed Frits

NAB Stations Cause Huge Interfearence, CREATE CHAOS  
ON THE AIRWAVES.The NAB Wants LPFM FCC  
Docket MM 99-25  
to be the Fall Guy.Solve the Problem FCC give LPFM Equal Spectrum How????  
Go out and Limit The NAB Stations to 10 to 3000 WATTS as stated in the 1934  
Communications Act to Serve Only your Community,Not Several States.

TO:  
Honorable Congress:  
FCC:  
Honorable Chairman Kennard:  
Honorable Commi8ssioners:  
NAB:  
NAB Legal Team:

Frits You have a problem with two WORDS YES or NO Where is my Trust Fund  
Yes or No  
Your Study Said Maybe,Should ,Probably and Who Knows LPFM will cause some  
Interfearence ?????? Frits i want a Simple Yes or No.

Frits we don't want to Fight we are Probably Old Viet Nam Buddies  
Fighting  
for Civil and Constitutional Rights.

\*\*\*\*\*PUNITIVE DAMAGE\*\*\*\*\*

LISTEN FRITS IF YOU SCREW THIS LPFM UP THREW YOUR LIES I WILL TAKE YOU TO CIVIL RIGHTS COURT FOR A JURY TRIAL FOR CIVIL AND MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT

AS AN AMERICAN!  
AND I WILL WIN IN A JURY TRIAL.

Frits your more of a thief then i thought,IBOC is going to make the Consumer buy all new receivers where's the grounds for arguing against lpfm on the basis of poor performance from some receivers "PLUS THIS IS HERESAY". the consumers with those under-engineered under-performing receivers are gonna have to buy new ones. simple

HERE WE GO AGAIN THE NAB TEST ARE HERESAY ANOTHER SCAM 6 Million Might ENCOUNTER INTERFERENCE????????????? 6 Million is a Drop in the Ocean If true. "YES or NO Frits

NAB's press release about its Written Comments.

NAB tests "show" that 6 million listeners might encounter interference from

LP-100s -- out of all the listeners in 60 markets that were examined.

6 million listeners out of HOW MANY MILLIONS in those 60 markets? EVEN IF everything the NAB claims is true (Ahem!!), this sounds like a localized, manageable problem to me!!

For Your Infomation: PASS FCC DOCKET MM 99-25

TO: The FCC :  
TO: Ed Frits of The NAB:

Hey Ed Your Station is way over Rated he only needs 200 watts to serve his Community not 100 Thousand Watts to serve 6 states. There SEVERAL STATIONS ACROSS UNITED STATES WITH HUGE INTERFEARENCE PROBLEMS DUE TO HUGE ILLEGAL WATTAGE OF THOUSANDS!!

Heres a Prime Example of Misuse of the 1934 & 1996 Act's.

Ocean City Md. is 10 miles long and 3 miles wide,a 200 watt Transmitter with a Cicular Polarization Omni Antenna would Cover the Community with No problem You the FCC Licensed NAB Froggy 99.9 FM in Ocean City Md. for 100 Thousand Watts he transmits to 6 states is this communitry radio i think not this

bum is keeping me and others in 6 states from useing 99.9 FM  
Frequencey,you the FCC need to go around the country and  
knock down all these stations to 10 to 3000 watts,this is your  
interfearence problem,a good lawyer can make a case of  
this,DISCRIMINATION ETC.

PS FORGOT TO MENTION THE CLOSEST 99.9 FM IS CT. &  
GA.THIS BUM HAS  
EASTCOAST  
TIED UP:

Date: 7/28/99  
From: Mr.Joseph D'Alessandro  
94 Angola Estates  
Lewes,Delaware 19958  
302-945-1554

Subject:Member # 8512 7568 1596 4858 ACLU

Subject:Member People For the American Way  
2000 M Street, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036

Subject Member: REFORM PARTY  
P.O. Box 9  
Dallas, Texas 75221

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**From:** Stanley Stillman <stans@mail.mcn.org>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)  
**Date:** Fri, Jul 30, 1999 1:16 AM  
**Subject:** Low Powered FM Licenses

99-215

Gentlemen:

The nation is in need of non-commercial community FM radio. The power range of ten to 100 watts should be licensed to individuals only, with no individual licensee having interest in either commercial broadcasting (radio or TV) nor any other low powered FM station. These stations must be given priority over any subsequent requests for licences of over 100 watts so that commercial interests cannot squeeze the independent low power station off the band. The licenses should not be auctioned (thus increasing the cost), but given on a first come first served basis, with the requirement that the low power FM station must start broadcasting within a reasonable period, e.g., 30 days, and broadcast some minimum hours per month to maintain their license. The granting of licenses should be to persons who reflect the ethnic diversity of the community.

**RECEIVED**

AUG 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The station should not be a local carrier for a commercial station, but should be free to use and exchange tapes (or other media) of music and speech with other low powered FM stations of material not generally available on commercial stations, particularly speech and music of political dissent that advertisers and owners of corporate radio do not generally want to be associated with. Citizens near obnoxious corporate and commercial operations, such as huge hog factories or oil refineries, should not be at the mercy of corporate money's ability to buy FM signal ranges to maintain their voice of protest and plans on the air waves.

Included in the definition of commercial stations should be the public radio stations that rely in part on commercial underwriting. They have bowed to corporate censorship.

The so called pirate radio stations should be given the first available licenses because if it wasn't for their challenge to the status quo, the FCC wouldn't be asking for our comment on low powered FM licensing. To exclude these pioneers would be grossly inappropriate.

I see no need for 1000 watt FM stations except in very rural areas, which should also be non-commercial. I also do not accept the need of non-profit ownership. We can see what happens to community radio when controlled by non-profit organizations in the situation with Pacifica Foundation and KPFA, which seems to want to sell the KPFA signal regardless of the community's wishes. With the LPFM licenses this should not be a possibility.

Thank you for asking for comments.

Stanley Stillman  
 40700 Eureka Hill Road  
 Point Arena, CA 95468-8814

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
 List ABCDE

**From:** joseph dalessandro <jdman@magpage.com>  
**To:** BARBER <gbarber@nab.org>, BARRY UMANSKY <bumansky@nab.org>  
**Date:** Sat, Jul 31, 1999 6:36 AM  
**Subject:** MISUSE OF SPECTRUM!!!!

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

PS FORGOT TO MENTION THE CLOSEST 99.9 FM IS CT. & GA. THIS BUM HAS EASTCOAST TIED UP: AND IS CAUSING ALL KINDS OF INTERFEARENCE!!!

**RECEIVED**

AUG 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

For Your Infomation:

TO: The FCC :  
TO: THE NAB ED FRITS:

PS FORGOT TO MENTION THE CLOSEST 99.9 FM IS CT. & GA. THIS BUM HAS EASTCOAST TIED UP: AND IS CAUSING ALL KINDS OF INTERFEARENCE!!!

Heres a Prime Example of Misuse of the 1934 & 1996 Act's.

Ocean City Md. is 10 miles long and 3 miles wide,a 200 watt Transmitter with a Cicular Polarization Omni Antenna would Cover the Community with No problem You the FCC Licensed Froggy 99.9 FM in Ocean City Md. for 100 Thousand Watts he transmits to 6 states is this community radio i think not this bum is keeping me and others in 6 states from useing 99.9 FM Frequincey,you the FCC need to go around the country and knock down all these stations to 10 to 3000 watts,this is your interfearence problem,a good lawyer can make a case of this,DISCRIMINATION ETC.

Date: 7/28/99  
From: Mr. Joseph D'Alessandro  
94 Angola Estates  
Lewes, Delaware 19958  
302-945-1554

Subject: Member # 8512 7568 1596 4858 ACLU

Subject: Member People For the American Way  
2000 M Street, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036

Subject Member: REFORM PARTY  
P.O. Box 9  
Dallas, Texas 75221  
Democratic Party:  
Republican Party:

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE