

**KBRD - KLDY**

**RADIO STATIONS**

PO BOX 7034

OLYMPIA WA 98507-7034

PHONE 360-943-5539

FAX 360-943-5539

EMAIL apex@olywa.net

**RECEIVED**

**RECEIVED**

MAY 20 1999

APR 19 4 33 PM '99

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

April 14, 1999

*Doc-99-25*

The Honorable William Kennard  
Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington DC 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I am writing to express my deep concerns regarding the notice of Proposed Rule-making that the FCC has begun on the issue of low-power FM, also known as micro-radio.

One of the major tasks that the FCC is charged with is to ensure that our broadcast and other services are maintained in as interference-free an environment as possible. Yet as I look at this issue, it is clear to me that the Commission is giving serious consideration to abandoning its interest in protecting against interference by looking to add these new low-power stations.

In the rule-making process itself, the commission lays out the case in stark reality. Unless second and third channel interference protections are reduced, there can be no low-power FM stations added in most major markets. The only way those stations can come on board is to reduce the protections against interference that make the FM band more listener friendly. I see no public policy benefit from such an action.

Moreover, I do not see any forethought in this notice regarding the planned transition to digital audio broadcasting. Local stations will hopefully soon be adding a digital signal on top of their analog signals within the same band and channel. This service promises greatly improved clarity and service to the public. Yet the addition of micro or low-power stations has the potential to seriously impair the ability of the digital signals to reach listeners unimpeded by interference.

I also do not understand how the proposed ownership limits for low-power stations, or the commission's desire to license certain favored group, can be reconciled with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 or the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

It is my view that this rule-making is far in advance of the science and research needed to demonstrate that it is both technically feasible as well as good public policy. While I understand the interest many may have in becoming broadcasters, it is my understanding that there are still AM and FM licenses available for application. Indeed, some of those applications are pending even as the commission prepares to leapfrog into low-power FM.


No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies  
List ABCDE

Letter to William Kennard  
April 14, 1999  
Page 2

I would urge you and your fellow commissioners to give serious consideration to placing any low-power FM actions on hold until and unless these technical issues are resolved and rules are adopted for digital audio broadcasting. American listeners depend on local broadcasters for important local news, weather and emergency bulletins, public affairs and other programming. We should not be putting access to that programming at risk by bringing on-line a new, untested and technically unfeasible radio service that seems driven less by good public policy and more by an interest in placating pirate radio operators.

Thank you for your consideration of these views.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Skip Marrow".

Skip Marrow