

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAY 6 - 1999

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

From: "chrissy" <henrycm@acad.umm.maine.edu>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 1999 8:53 PM
Subject: ?

Good Afternoon,

My name is Christina and I am emailing in regards to the current topic of interest on whether 10-watt radio stations should continue or be rid of. I go to school at the University of Maine at Machias. Yes, it is just about as secluded as the name sounds. However one thing that UMM has going for it now, is a 1-watt radio station. Unfortunately only the campus, which only comprises of about 300 or so students, can get this station in. If we were able to upgrade our station to 10 watts, I KNOW it would make a difference. It would allow the outside town(s) to hear us, and by them calling in, we can hear them. On a good, clear night, the radio station can come in roughly a half a mile or so from the broadcasting building, however that radius is assuming you stay parallel to the river.

Basically the reason I am voicing my opinion is because I really do feel that 10-watt radio stations are needed. ESPECIALLY in little rural areas such as this. For Machias, it wouldn't merely be to bring the campus community together, but the campus and non-campus community as well. That is a big factor to take into consideration in areas like this. Bangor (2 hours away) and Calais (1 hour away) are 2 of the 3 to 4 radio stations that actually come in fairly decent. Sometimes, however, they do not. If radio is the largest asset to connecting with society that little towns like here have, then I feel such a way of life needs to be known and expressed. I guess I cannot emphasize enough how important communication is out here, and via radio. Please just keep these few little ramblings in mind, and see that I am FOR having 10-watt radio stations.

Thank you for your time.

Christina Henry

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
List ABCDE

RECEIVED**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL****MAY 6 - 1999**

From: Stephen Airy <stephen.airy@saintmail.net>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Thu, Apr 29, 1999 4:58 AM
Subject: lpfm and lpam suggestions (not sure how to comment?! without all legalese!!)

**Federal Communications Commission
 Office of Secretary**

I am in favor of establishing a Low Power FM radio service. I have been wanting to start my own small station on an extremely limited budget, but I DO NOT WISH TO BE A PIRATE!!!!

I have some suggestions: also make a low-power AM service. For example, maybe have limits of 100 watts erp with antenna length limit of 250 feet for one class. Class LPAM2 would have limits of 10 watts erp with the same antenna limit of TIS stations. I'll go into more detail on LPAM3 in the next paragraph

LPAM3: move all stations on 1230, 1240, 1340, 1400, 1450, and 1490 to somewhere else. Then, on those frequencies, allow 1 watt ERP, or if necessary to provide coverage at least 3/4 to 1 mile radius with the specs I am specifying, maybe a limit of 2.5 watts might work. I would prefer that on these frequencies, no license would be required. I realize that the following specifications would still result in stations interfering with each other, but that's the way it is normally on these frequencies at night. To determine whether or not you can put a station on a specific frequency, take a Walkman or similar portable radio outside, and listen on those frequencies. If you don't hear anything, go ahead and construct a station. I am guessing that the RFI resulting would limit coverage to 1 mile day and 1/2 mile night, or similar distances. I recommend a maximum antenna length of 50 feet.

For class 2 and class 3, please don't charge filing fees, and for class 1, if possible keep it under \$50 per station.

For unlicensed operation on other frequencies, why not increase limit to 500mW on AM with antenna length of 25 feet? On FM, how about limit of 1 watt with antenna height of 20 feet? One way to determine interference would be (during the day) to find a blank channel on a car radio, then broadcast an empty carrier or a solid tone on that channel. Then, drive, walk, or whatever to the edges of your coverage area and listen for other broadcast stations with a good radio and antenna (car radio preferred). If you hear a "commercial" station, go back to your station, shut it off, and find a different operating frequency.

Another way to determine the power limit for the unlicensed stations on 1230, 1240, 1340, 1400, 1450, and 1490 would be to apply the 27MHz CB rules here.

What do you think? summary: low power AM 100 watt, 10 watt licensed, 1 or 2.5 watt unlicensed on Local Channels with current stations moved, increase unlicensed limits all around while still preventing interference

<http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Chip/3366>

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
 List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAY 6 - 1999

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

99-15

From: John Giuliotti <Giuliottij@admin.wit.edu>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Tue, Apr 27, 1999 12:20 PM
Subject: LPFM RADIO SERVICE

<PROCEEDING>99-25
<DATE>4/27/99
<NAME>JOHN GIULIOTTI
<ADDRESS1>550 HUNTINGTON AVENUE
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>BOSTON
<STATE>MA
<ZIP>02115
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<CONFIDENTIAL>N
<PHONE-NUMBER>617-989-4084
<DESCRIPTION>IN FAVOR OF LPFM RADIO SERVICE
<NOTIFY>GIULIOTTIJ@WIT.EDU
<TEXT>DEAR FCC,

I AM AN ADVISOR TO A STUDENT RADIO STATION IN BOSTON. I FEEL THAT ALLOWING EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTES THE ABILITY TO BROADCAST WITHIN THESE LIMITATIONS WOULD PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR STUDENT INVOLVEMENT AND LEADERSHIP.

No. of Copies rec'd *2 copies*
List ABCDE

RECEIVED**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL****MAY 6 - 1999**Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

99-25

From: <SampleCo@aol.com>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Tue, Apr 13, 1999 2:06 AM
Subject: RE:MM Docket 99-25

Gentlemen:

Since I cannot get through your system without "time outs" I am send me comments to this address and ask you forward them to the proper authority.

Regarding proposed 1-10 or 1000 Watt FM transmitters, I call your attention to your proposal regarding low power Television transmitters, which was shelved.

If you truly believe, that the mega-million commercial Radio Broadcasters are going to let you do this, then I have some lake front property in Nevada I'd like to sell you!

It's a great idea, but you'll NEVER get it off the ground. The sale price of a commercial FM station will drop like a rock if this idea is passed and implemented.

Dream on, you at the FCC should get a life.

Sam Kopetzky II
sampleco@aol.com

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

9/1/99

From: Gabriole Martell <gmartell@ibert.org>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Sun, Mar 21, 1999 5:21 PM
Subject: access

RECEIVED

MAY 6 - 1999

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary**

Mr. Kennard,

I am very much in favor of your suggestion that small community transmitters would allowable across the US. Since the 1996 Telecommunications Act, The very bad has become even worse. I am an educator and I have been around for awhile, but never before have I seen so little diversity in what is broadcast. This also includes PBS unfortunately. It is totally unamerican for all of our information to come from so few sources. I can imagine what Benjamin Franklin would think about this!!

I understand the political pressures you and the FCC are under--but do your best! There are many of us who care out here.
Gabriole Martell

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

From: <MLB3030@aol.com>
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)
Date: Sun, Apr 11, 1999 1:11 AM
Subject: Comment on Docket# 99-25

MAY 6 - 1999

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary



Low Power FM Radio Stations:

It is a welcome opportunity for all neighborhood and community across the continental United States. As our population grows in a multicultural way, the need for Low Power FM Radio Stations to those villages and towns, it is in great demand and it is a real burden for Full Power FM Radio Stations.

* All these Full Power FM Radio Stations are doing successfully well with programing, however, it is necessary to protect Full Power and Low Power FM Radio Stations from interferences.

* Low Power FM Radio Stations should operate on a noncommercial bases, which enables the people to lend their support, and voices more effectively to their communities needs.

* Operators and facilities of Low Power FM Radio Stations, must meet FCC standards and closely be monitored by the FCC and Engineers.

* LP1000, LP100 and Microradio Stations are very significant to those communities.

* Low Power FM Radio Station Licenses should be granted to individuals with some experience in Radio and should live within the broadcast area of the Radio Station.

* Equipment should meet FCC standards and prices should be affordable. Our technology will lead us into the next millennium, feeling proud of our achievements.

Thank you for your consideration in the matter,

Truly

yours,

Desmond Plummer.

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

[REDACTED] MAY 6 - 1999

From: Ralph de Liz <ziled1@earthlink.net>
To: Ralph de Liz <ziled1@earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, Apr 30, 1999 12:46 PM
Subject: Vote for MicroRadio during Public Comment Period

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary



Dear FCC official, [I tried to send this by your ECFS but it failed multiple times]

Micro radio development has now become a pivotal freedom speech issue. Public communication access at the local level and access availability must be preserved for all interested, and responsible players.

Alternative broadcast outlets of all sorts must be incubated and nurtured for our democracy to thrive.

New technology and the new Telecom Act has drastically changed the industry, in ways no one could have predicted.

Broadcast opportunities have been adversely impacted by the present dearth of diversity of ownership, lack of competitive opportunities for small players and has limited the availability of information especially at the local community level.

The airways and broadcast spectrums are a public trust issue and not just a vehicle for corporate profitability. A bulwark must be developed to prevent corporations with economies of scale dominating what is a public trust.

"Might does not make right" and "bigger is not necessarily better", for citizens who need and value diverse information sources.

Therefore, new avenues to access the airwaves for all must be incubated at the local level for civic, educational, non-profit, noncommercial and even quirky commercial mico radio broadcasting entities.

Radio broadcast access is especially critical since radio is still a place where the financial entry barriers are not impossible for a small entity or an individual to surmount.

The FCC must encourage imagination and technology to combine to create greater access for traditionally unempowered players.

Indeed regulation must be encouraged to allow a free-for-all of information to emerge.... let the democratic cacophony and free for all begin....

Consider this a vote to grow and cultivate micro-radio and nano micro radio...!

Registered Voter:

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
List ABCDE

Ralph de Liz
566 Sylvan Place
Haworth, NJ
07641-1523

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAY 6 1999

From: Pamela Deliz <ziled@earthlink.net>
 To: Jada Barnes <JBarnes@fcc.gov>
 Date: Wed, May 5, 1999 3:02 AM
 Subject: MicroRadio Comments - Proceeding: 99-25

Federal Communications Commission
 Office of Secretary



PROCEEDING>99-25

<DATE> 28 April 99
 <NAME> Pamela de Liz
 <ADDRESS1> 520 Pacific Street;
 <ADDRESS2> Apt: One
 <CITY> Santa Monica
 <STATE>CA
 <ZIP> 90405-2461
 <LAW-FIRM> Not Applicable
 <ATTORNEY>
 <FILE-NUMBER>
 <DOCUMENT-TYPE>
 <CONFIDENTIAL>no
 <PHONE-NUMBER> 1.310.450.5062
 <DESCRIPTION> Public Comments on MicroRadio
 <NOTIFY>Pamela de Liz <ziled@earthlink.net>
 <TEXT> Dear FCC official,

Microradio development has now become a pivotal freedom speech issue. Public communication access at the local level and access availability must be preserved for all interested, and responsible players.

Alternative broadcast outlets of all sorts must be incubated and nurtured for our democracy to thrive.

New technology and the new Telecom Act has drastically changed the industry, in ways no one could have predicted.

Broadcast opportunities have been adversely impacted by the present dearth of diversity of ownership, lack of competitive opportunities for small players and has limited the availability of information especially

at the local community level.

The airways and broadcast spectrums are a public trust issue and not just a vehicle for corporate profitability. A bulwark must be developed

to prevent corporations with economies of scale dominating what is a public trust.

"Might does not make right" and "bigger is not necessarily better", for citizens who need and value diverse information sources.

Therefore, new avenues to access the airwaves for all must be incubated at the local level for civic, educational, non-profit, noncommercial and even quirky commercial microradio broadcasting entities.

No. of Copies rec'd 2 copies
 List ABCDE

Radio broadcast access is especially critical since radio is still a place where the financial entry barriers are not impossible for a small entity or an individual to surmount.

The FCC must encourage imagination and technology to combine to create greater access for traditionally unempowered players.

Indeed regulation must be encouraged to allow a free-for-all of information to emerge.... let the democratic cacophony and free for all begin....

Consider this a vote to grow and cultivate micro-radio and nano micro radio...!

Registered Voter:

Pamela A. de Liz
520 Pacific Street
Santa Monica, CA
90405-2461

Postscript: The complexity of you consumer e-mail system is ridiculous. The format is not user friendly. As such it represents an artificial impedement to collecting the Public's comments. Very pathetic considering this is the FCC....if the FCC can't develop a better system,

subcontract the task out! <Text>----- End Included Message -----

----- End Included Message -----