

The National Association of Broadcasters has asked for an extremely long extension to comment on 99-25, the Low Powered Radio Service. I strongly oppose this request. The NAB has made it abundantly clear that they vigorously oppose the addition of low power radio stations for economic and other non technical reasons. Finding a relatively deaf ear to their profitability concerns, they are now turning to a trumped up "Receiver study" to defer the enactment of local community service radio. The LPRS service proposed in 99-25 is very similar to the translator service in terms of technical specifications. The NAB did not ask for a year of comment when that service was enacted. The fact is, the technical conditions that LPRS would cause are in existence around the US right now as Short Spaced Grandfathered FMs and translators. As a future broadcaster, I am also concerned about spectrum integrity. However there is no need for this "Study". These conditions exist in the real world TODAY. Please view this delaying tactic for what it is. The radio listener is waiting for the local service the NAB should be providing NOW. Please don't make them wait for the completion of this chrade. I ask that you deny the motion for more reply time on RM 99-25.

Thank you,
Bill Doerner