

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

From: "Joseph D'Alessandro" <jdman@magpage.com>
To: A4.A4 (FCCINFO)
Date: 8/8/98 2:33pm
Subject: ?

JM-9242
RECEIVED
AUG 10 1998
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

PLEASE READ BEFORE YOU TRASH OR DELETE:
Dear Senator and Congressman:
, PLEASE RE-PLY to me By US MAIL, .:

I Charge The FCC With Civil Rights
Civil Liberties Violations, And Breaking The Law, They Are To Up-Hold:
The Communications Act Of 1927 & 1934 Where Established to keep the Air
Ways Free Of Interfearance, and High Power Outputs, And To Serve There
Community's Interest And Concern's:

The FCC has Taken The Communications Act's and Have Used It, for the Rich
and Wealth'y, Big Business, The NAB, Radio Conglomerates ETC.
Investment Firms, ETC.

99% of the Peoples Democracy Can Not Compete in the Communications
Act's, which where established for the Peoples Democracy.

Dear Senators and Congressman This is a Very Serious Issue and You
AS MY
ELECTED OFFICAL NEED TO REACT TO THIS BREAKING OF THE LAW BY THE
FCC, WITHOUT DELAY, AND OR NEXT IN ORDER.

"There's no way to rule
innocent men. The only power any government has
is the power to crack down on criminals.''

Senator's and Congressman's:

The FCC has Violated, a Law Promised, by the
Communications Act 's of
1927 and 1934:

to the American Peoples Democracy.

Since 1927, they have EXCLUDED, 99.% of the peoples
Democracy,
from haveing the oppportunity, to part take, or to share
with others, there right to own a radio station, under the Communications
act.

They have taken it apod themselves Knowingly To
Disregard, Pay No
Attention , To The American Citizen Since 1927 =
Olga, Woman, Disabled, Blacks, and Many Other Minority's, =Less Fortunate, .

Except The NAB, and BIG FAT CATS and Radio
Conglomerates.

I ask you
to Bring Charges Aganist The FCC, And there Directors, and Attorneys, who have
helped, in this FASCISM TYPE CONDUCT, and the Persecution, Due To There
Implementation, of there ILLEGAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

I DEMAND YOU AS MY ELECTED OFFICAL
BRING
THE FCC UP FOR A SENATE HEARING.

HERE IS
ANOTHER SUPREME COURT RULEING, IN WHICH YOU THE FCC, HAVE TURNED THE SUPREME
COURT RULEING, INTO ''''A FALSE OR DERISIVE IMITATION'''';

YOU HAVE ALLOWED THE

2

NAB, THE RADIO CONGLOMERATES, LARGE INVESTMENT CORPORATIONS, TO APPLY AND RECEIVE FROM YOU RADIO LICENSE'S TO OWN RADIO STATIONS, ALSO TO MONOPOLIZE, THE RADIO FREQUINCEY'S, UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT, YOU THE FCC USE THE FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS FOR THE RICH AND WEALTHY, ;''''I CHARGE YOU THE FCC WITH CRIMES OF 'DISHONESTY' AND TO DEPRIVE MANY OF OWNING A RADIO STATION, UNDER THE SUPREME COURT RULEING'S.

THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT WAS ENACTED TO PREVENT ANYONE FROM USING WHAT EVER POWER LEVELS , HE AND OR SHE WISHED. NOW YOU KNOW AND I KNOW THE COVER A LARGE URBAN CITIE NO MORE THEN 3000 WATTS IS REQUIRED, YOU HAVE BROKEN THE LAW BY LETTING THE NAB, AND RADIO CONGLOMERATES RECEIVE APPROVED BY YOU THE FCC POWER LEVELS OF 300 HUNDREAD THOUSAND WATTS, ETC. TO COVER AND ENTIRE STATE. THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT WAS ALSO ENACTED TO HAVE RADIO STATIONS SERVE THERE COMMUNITYS NOT THERE STATE OR STATES, JUST THIS ONE ACT OF PREJUDICE, AND DISCRIMINATION , (PS YOU HAVE MANY) HAS REFUSED TO ALLOW , OLGA, WOMAN, BLACKS, AND OTHER MINORITY'S, FROM OWNING A RADIO STATION, . AND YOU THE FCC NEED TO BE BROUGHT IN FRONT OF A SENATE HEARING, FOR YOUR CIVIL RIGHTS AND LIBERTIES VIOLATIONS.

It was this fact, and the chaos which resulted from permitting anyone to use any frequency at whatever power level he wished, which made necessary the enactment of the Radio Act of 1927 and the Communications Act of 1934. National Broadcasting Co. v. United States, 319 U.S. 190, 210 - 214 (1943). It was this reality which at the very least necessitated first the division of the radio spectrum into portions reserved respectively for public broadcasting and for other important radio uses such as amateur operation, aircraft, police, defense, and navigation; and then the subdivision of each portion, and assignment of specific frequencies to individual users or groups of users. Beyond this, however, because the frequencies reserved for public broadcasting were limited in number, it was essential for the Government to tell some applicants that they could not broadcast at all because there was room for only a few.

Where there are substantially more individuals who want to broadcast than there are frequencies to allocate, it is idle to posit an unabridgeable First Amendment right to broadcast comparable to the right of every individual to speak, write, or publish. If 100 persons want to broadcast but there are only 10 frequencies to allocate, all of them may have the same 'right' to be a licensee; but if there is to be any effective communication by radio, only a few can be licensed and the rest must be barred from the airwaves. It would be strange if the First Amendment, aimed at protecting and furthering communications, prevented the Government from making radio communication possible by requiring licensees to broadcast and by limiting the number of licensees so as not to overcrowd the spectrum.

This has been the consistent view of the Court. Congress unquestionably has the power to grant and deny licenses and to eliminate existing stations. [No one has a first amendment right to a license or to monopolize a radio frequency; to deny a station license because 'the public interest' requires it 'is not a denial of free speech.' National Broadcasting Co. v. United States, 319 U.S. 190, 227 (1943).

Mr. D'Alessandro
Power 89 fm
Black Radio R & B Group Harmoney